

Richard Baxter's

More Reasons for the
Christian Religion

Quinta Press, Meadow View, Weston Rhyn,
Oswestry, Shropshire, England, SY10 7RN

The format of this book is copyright
© 2019 Quinta Press

The facsimile text was sourced from EEBO (Early
English Books online).

MORE REASONS
FOR THE
CHRISTIAN RELIGION,
AND

No Reason Against it.

Or a Second Appendix to the
Reasons of the Christian Religion,

BEING

- I. An Answer to a Letter from an unknown Person, Charging the Holy Scriptures with Contradictions.
- II. Some ANIMADVERSIONS On a Tractate *De Veritate*, Written by the Noble and Learned Lord *Edward Herbert*, Baron of *Chizbury*, &c. and Printed at *Paris* 1624. And at *London* 1633. Resolving Twelve Questions about Christianity.

By *Richard Baxter*.

LONDON,
Printed for *Nevil Simmons* at the Prin-
ces Arms in *St. Pauls Church-*
Yard, 1672.

TO THE

*Right Worshipfull
Sir Henry Herbert, Kt. &c.*

SIR,

THE reasons are many
which induce me to pre-
sume to direct these Pa-
pers first to you, and
to tell the world how much I honour
you: first my personal ancient obli-
gations to you: secondly, Principally,
your approved wisdom and mo-
deration, and taking part with the
waies of Charity and Peace, in your
most publick capacity in these try-
ing times: thirdly, your Relation
to the Noble Author on whose wri-
ting I here Animadvert; which as
it is your honour to be the Brother

of so learned and ingenious a Lord, and the Brother of so excellently holy as well as learned and ingenious a person, as Mr. George Herbert, Orator to the University of Cambridge, and a faithful Pastor in the English Church; so it obligeth me the more to give you an account of this Animadversion. It is long since I sought after the Book, as provoked by the Title and the honour of the Authors name, and received it from you as your gift. The promised Letter from an unknown person of the same name, occasioned me to review it: The sad case of many of my acquaintance, and the increase of Infidelity of late, especially among debauched sensual Gallants, and the danger of England hereby, and the Temptations against which the best of Christians have need of help, were the Reasons of my presumption, it being my Calling to propagate and vindicate the Christian

stian Faith: I am so far from writing against his whole Book, that I take most of his Rules and Notions de Veritate, to be of singular use. And had so great a wit had but the Internal Conditions due to such an Intellectual apprehension, as his and your holy and excellent Brother had; no doubt but our supernatural Revelations and Verities, would have appeared evident to him, and possess his soul with so sweet a gust, and fervent ascendent holy LOVE, as breatheth in Mr. G. Herbert's Poems, and as would have made them as clear to him in their kind, as some of his Notitiæ Communes. The truth is, as he was too low to us, who number not our Divine Revelations with the Veresimilia, but with the Certain Verities; so he was too high for the Atheistical Sensualists of this age: And I would they would learn of him, that the Being and Perfections of God,

the duty of worshipping him, and of holy Conformity and Obedience to him, and particularly all the ten Commandments, the necessity of true Repentance, and the Rewards and Punishments of the Life to Come, with the Souls Immortality, *are all Notitiae Communes and such Natural Certainties, as that the denyal of them doth unman them. To know this and to live accordingly, would make a great alteration in our times: And Christianity could not be disrelished by such, that so know and do. I may well suppose that your approbation of the Cause I plead for, will make it needless to me to Apologize for my boldness in meddling with such an Author, while I do it with all tenderness of his deserved honour: I remain Your obliged Servant,*

Richard Baxter.

Jan. 17. 167½.

SIR,

1

SIR,

I Was right glad, when I first heard that you had written, and put to Print, a Book of the Reasons of the Christian Religion; and I did immediately buy the Book, hoping that in the Reading and Perusing of it, I might have received satisfaction as to any doubt or scruple, and an answer satisfactory to all Objections, that in Reason may be raised against the Grounds of the said Christian Religion; because I did think you to be as able to say and write as much as any man in that thing, having as I thought studied it as much as any that I had heard of; but in the reading and perusing it, I contrary to my expectation found it to be short of giving me satisfaction.

For the greatest occasion of any doubt or scruple in any thing tending or relating to the Christian Religion that I at any time had or have, were from that variousness and contrariety,

A 4

if

if not contradictions, which are, or at least seem to be, in the writings of the Apostles and Evangelists and other Books received for Scripture.

But you in answer to that Objection, *page 412.* say, Nothing but ignorance maketh men think so; understand once the true meaning, and allow for the errors of Printers, Transcribers, and Translators; and there will no such thing be found.

But you neither tell me which are those errors, nor yet how I may know them.

1. Therefore I humbly pray you (in writing) to tell me, whether that which is written in the first Chapter of *Matthews* Gospel, *verse 8, 9.* where *Matthew* writes, that *Joram* begat *Ozias* and *Ozias* begat *Joatham*, be any error of the Transcribers, Translators or Printers, or the contrary to it, which is written in the second book of the Kings, and in the books of the Chronicles; if not, how may they be understood, for in those books it is written that *Joram* was Father to *Ahaziah*, and *Ahaziah* was Father to *Joash*, and *Joash* was Father to *Amaziah*, and *A-*
maziah

maziah was Father to *Azariah*, and *Azariah* was Father to *Joatham*; by the account of which Books, there is above an hundred Years between the death of *Joram* the son of *Jehosaphat*, and *Joatham*,

2. And Secondly, Whether that which is written by *Luke* in his Gospel, Chapter 24. *vers.* 9, 10. 22, 23. where *Luke* writes, that *Mary Magdalen* and other Women, told the Disciples, that they had seen a Vision of Angels, which said, that Jesus was risen from the dead, and was alive; whether this be any error of the Transcribers, Translators and Printers, or any of them; or the Contrary which is written by *St. John* in his Gospel, for he writes Chap. 20. *verse* 2. That *Mary Magdalen* told two of the Disciples, and said to them, They (I suppose meaning the Adversaries) have taken away the Lord out of the Sepulcher, and we know not where they have laid him.

If not how may I understand them to be both true Testimonies or Reports, for it seemeth by *Luke*, *ver.* 11, 12. and 23, 24. of his 24. Chapter,

A 5

that

that *Mary* and the other Women had told those things of their seeing the Angels, which said that Jesus was risen and alive, before that *Peter* ran or went to the Sepulcher.

3. And Thirdly, Whether that which is written by *Matthew*, in the 28th. Chapter of his Gospel, that the Angel said to *Mary Magdalen* and the other *Mary*, fear not ye, for I know that ye seek Jesus which was Crucified, he is not here, for he is risen, as he said, Come see the place where the Lord lay, and go quickly and tell his Disciples that he is risen from the dead, and behold he goeth before you into *Galilee*, there shall you see him, so I have told you,

And they departed quickly from the Sepulcher with fear and great joy, and did run to bring his Disciples word, and as they went to tell his Disciples, behold Jesus met them, see *Mat.* 28. 1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9. *v*

Whether I say was this which is written in St. *Matthews* Gospel, that I have here Transcribed, said to the Women, and that the Women returned from the Sepulcher to tell the Disciples,

Disciples, before that *Mary Magdalen* said to him that she supposed to be the Gardiner, If thou hast born him hence, tell me where thou hast laid him, and I will take him away, *John* 20. 15. or whether there be any error of Transcribers, Translators or Printers in those Texts; if not, how may I understand them to be true reports.

Sir, I shall trouble you with no more but these few places which I have proposed in three Questions or Particulars, although there are several other Texts, that I do not understand how they may be reconciled, but if you shall by strength of Argument Grounded upon sound Reason, make appear that it was nothing but Ignorance hath made me to think that those Testimonies agree not, but are contrary one to the other; and that they may be so understood as that no such thing will appear in them, then I shall be ready and will with you conclude and say so too, and for the future suppose that other places of those books which are received for Scripture, as seem to be contrary to one another, may be
Recon-

Reconciled, though I do not understand how;

But on the contrary, if you do not endeavour by such sound and plain Arguments to make it appear that these Texts here Transcribed by me, may be understood so as that no contradiction is in them.

I must think that it was nothing but Ignorance that made you say that which you have said, in answer to that and some other objections,

Therefore I humbly and earnestly pray and beseech you, both in defence of your own writings as also in defence of those Books in which you say you think that no one error or contradiction in any matter can be proved, to make it appear in truth and plainness.

If you judge I have erred from the truth, I hope you will endeavour to to convert me from the error of my way, if any such be; which if you shall do, no doubt but it will be a good work, see *James 5. ult.*

Sir, It is your advice, that in such kind of Scruples, the doubtful should apply himself for satisfaction to some
Mini-

7

Minister; therefore do I write to you, and if you shall not give me a gentle and plain Answer, I shall be discouraged to make my Scruples known to any other; therefore in expectation of your plain Answer, I Remain

*Your Loving Friend in
the Bond of Peace.*

SIR,

TWO sorts of persons use to trouble me and others, with their Objections against the Christian Religion. First, Some *Papists*, who profess to believe it, but in *designe* do act the part of Infidels, that they may loose men from all *Religion*, in hope to bring them over to *theirs*, when they have taken them off all other. For he that can make another man believe that he was hitherto totally misled is likest to become the Master of his Faith: For men are apt to think that none can so easily and certainly shew them the truth, as he that hath shewed them *their error*. And when men once think that according to the Grounds of the *Reformed Churches*, they can have no *certainty of Faith*, they will the easilier be brought to the way of those men, who promise them that certainty which they make them believe that others want.

second-

9

Secondly, The other sort are *Infidels*, who of late are grown numerous and audacious, and look so big and speak so lowd, as to acquaint us that it is not they that are silenced (in their speaking place) nor driven five miles from every City and Corporation.

Which sort you are of, I know not: I read your *name*, and that you are a *Sojourner*; but finding that you write not as a *tender Doubter*, who desireth to be *concealed*, but as a *Confident gain-sayer* of the Christian Verity, and not knowing how safely to send a Letter to the place where you say you sojourn, I have thought that it will be most pleasing to you to come to you by the same way, as the book did which you except against (which was written upon the provocation of a paper Scattered among the Schollars of *Oxford*, when the *Oxford* Oath and Act were made in the time of the great Plague, as by one that was unsatisfied in the Grounds of Christianity; but I strongly suspected was written by a Papist, it was made so suitable to their designs.)

In two things you have not dealt
righte-

 10

righteously and ingeniously with me.

1. In that you have not *answered* the *Grounded Proofs* of the Christian Verity which I have laid down, but nibble at the Answer to some Objections, which is not the way of a Lover of the truth.

2. In that you take no notice of, or make no answer to, the *second part* of my answer to that *same objection*, about supposed Contradictions in the Scriptures; where I shewed you at large, that if that which you object were granted, it would not overthrow the certainty of the Christian Faith. Both those should have been done by an impartial man.

The method which the nature of the Cause requireth me now to use, in my answer to you, shall be in the manifesting these following Propositions.

Prop. 1. *That if it could not by us be proved that every word of the Scripture is true, nor the pen men infallible or indefectible in every particle, yet might we have a certainty of the Christian Religion.*

Prop. 2. *That yet all that is in the*

II

Scriptures as the word of God is certainly true; and no error or contradiction can be proved in it, but what is in some Copies by the fault of Printers, Transcribers or Translators.

Prop. 3. *That he that first proveth the Truth of the Christian Faith by solid evidence, may and ought to be certain of that truth, though he be not able to solve all seeming contradictions in the Scripture, or answer all objections which occur.*

Prop. 4. *The true method of one that would arrive at certainty, and not deceive himself and others, is to lay first the fundamental proofs, and examine them till he is thereby confirmed, and afterwards to try the by-objections as he is able; And not to begin first at the answering of such by-objected difficulties, and judging of all the cause thereby. Of these I shall now speak in order.*

And whereas you bespeak *Plainness* and *Gentleness* in the Answer, I shall grant you the *first* as far as in such hast and brevity I am able; And the *second* as far as the nature of the cause will bear. But if you account all Christians *deceived fools*, you must not
expect

12

expect to be called *wise*, nor that I should *flatter* you, and tell you that *Apostasie is a state of safety*; For I that believe *Heb. 6.* and *10.* must think that this were not *Gentleness* but *Cruelty*, and worse than to kill you for fear of displeasing you.

Prop. 1. *If it could not by us be proved that every word of the Scriptures is true, nor the Pen men infallible or indefectible in every particle, yet might we have a certainty of the Christian Religion.*

The reason is, Because every *particle* in the Scripture, is not an *essential part* of the *Christian Religion*, (no nor any *Integral part*, if you take the *Christian Religion* strictly, for the Doctrine of necessary *Belief, Desire* and *Practice*;) And that part which is indeed the *essence* (yea or *Integrity*) of Christianity, may be certainly proved and believed, without our being able to prove the certainty or truth of all the rest which is in the Scriptures.

The Holy Scriptures contain *all our Religion*, and *somewhat more*; that is, the *Accidents and appurtenances* of it. As the *body* of a man, besides the *parts*
Essential

13

Essential and Integral hath its *Accidents*; such as are the *Hair* and the *Colour* (and some *Humours*) which are for *Beauty* and other uses, though not *Parts*. So far are the Papists from being in the right, who think that the Christian Religion is not *all* (but *part*) contained in the *Scriptures*, that there is *more than all* that is *necessary to salvation*, even the *appurtenances* which have an aptitude to the *adorning* and *promoting* of the rest.

To know who was the Father of every person mentioned in the Bibles *Genealogies*, to know what age each person was of whose age is there mentioned; to know the *name* of every *person*, and every *Town*; to know how *far* each *City* was from another whose distances are there expressed; with a multitude of such like *Historical*, *Genealogical*, *Chronological*, *Topographical*, *Physical*, incidental passages, is but an *appurtenance*, and not strictly a *part* (*Essential or Integral*) of the Christian Faith, of Holiness or Religion.

Yet remember that we maintain as certain, that they are all Lyars who accuse God of Lying; And that, whatever

¹⁴

ever some ignorantly talk to the contrary, *God cannot lie*. See the excellent *Amesius* his Disputation, of this Question, *An falsum subesse potest fidei divinae*, after his *Medulla Theologiæ* (which book with his *Cases of Conscience*, and *Alstedius* his *Encyclopaediæ*, may (after the Scriptures and Concordance) make a good Divine, and be a better Library than the Fathers of the fourth *Council. Carth.* were acquainted with.) He that thinketh God can lie, destroyeth the *Objectum Formale fidei divinae*, and therefore can have no Faith. If God could *lie in one thing*, we should *never* be sure that he revealeth the truth, unless by *sense* it self and *after-experience*. All Faith goeth upon such a Syllogism as this [*Whatsoever God saith is true: But this God saith, Ergo, it is true*] So that whosoever believeth every word in the Scripture to *be Gods word*, must believe it all to be *true*, or he can believe none of it at all.

But yet it is possible for a man to believe one part of the Bible to be Gods Word, and not another part; which needeth no proof.

Because

15

Because that many of the ancient Churches for a certain time, doubted of, yea, received not the Epistle of *James, Peter 2d. the Heb. Apocal. &c.* and yet were truly of the Christian Religion.

First, We deny not but that there are many false and wicked sayings *historically* recited in the Scripture (as the saying of *Cain, Pharaoh, Gehezi, the false Prophets, the Devil (of Job, to Christ, &c.)* but the Scripture is nevertheless true: For it is true that all these untruths were spoken.

Secondly, The Disciples of Christ were not *absolutely and in all things infallible*, as all Christians do Confess. They were not as perfect in Knowledge as now they are in Heaven. Either *Paul* or *Barnab* as was mistaken about the fitness of *Mark* to go with them.

Thirdly, There was a *greater assistance* of the Spirit promised them when *two or three of them were assembled in Christs name*, than when they proceeded singly, *Mat. 18. 18.* But there can be nothing above *perfect infallibility* and *impeccability* to them all.

Fourth-

16

Fourthly, We confess that Christs Disciples were not *indefectible* or *sinless*. As their *understandings*, so their *wills and lives*, had still some imperfections. *Marke, Paul and Silas* did not all perfectly do their duties, in the case they differed about. *Peter* did amiss in avoiding the Gentile Christians, when *Paul* blamed him openly, *Gal. 2*. And *Barnabas* and others did not do well in being drawn away to the same dissimulation. When *Paul* saith of *Timothy*, *I have no man like minded*—And of others, *They all seek their own*, He took not all Christians that had the Spirit to be perfect: If any man had not the Spirit of Christ, he was none of his, *Rom. 8. 9*. And the very wrangling declining *Galathians*, had *received the spirit*, *Gal. 3. 1, 2, 3*. And so had the wrangling *Corinthians* *Christ in them*, *2 Cor. 8. 5*.

Fifthly, We confess that he who is *either infallible* or *defectible* (lyable to *error or sia*) is of *himself* capable of being *deceived* and of *deceiving* others. If he were *Infallible* in respect of the Knowledge of all the Truth, yet while he *can sin* (of himself considered) he
can

17

can be heedless, careless, rash, partial, and for by respects speak too little or too much. It is the Devils last method, to *undo* by *overdoing*, and so to destroy the authority of the Apostles by over magnifying them, therefore we will not use his methods, nor deny any of this.

Sixthly, Moreover, we confess that it is possible for a good Christian to doubt whether those that were but Evangelists (as *Marke* and *Luke*) had the same promise of the Spirits infallible assistance with the Apostles; seeing we find not that promise so expressly any where made to them. And thereupon he may possibly think that some errors may consist with their measure of the Spirit, as it did with many Christians who had the same Spirit.

Seventhly, And we do not believe that the extraordinary operations of the Spirit were alwaies equally in the Apostles themselves; we suppose the Prophets could not alwaies Prophesie, nor those that spake with tongues, use that gift at their own pleasure, nor yet those that did miracles, healed the sick

18

sick, or raised the dead: But that the Spirit wrought, as in various sorts and measures in several persons, (1 *Cor.* 12.) so also at various times, and in various measures in the same person. Whereupon it is possible for a good Christian to doubt, whether every word in Scripture was written then, when the writer had the gift of infallibility and indefectibility.

Eighthly, And we do confess ourselves, that the Apostles had not the infallible Spirit given them for *every use* or *thing* that they had to do; but for *those matters* about which they had *special need of it* and *use for it*, to fulfill their office. The Spirit *was* not so necessary for them to discern those things by, which the *common sense and understanding of a man*, was sufficient to discern. They could tast sweet from bitter, feel heat from cold, discern light from darkness, without an *Infallible extraordinary* Spirit. And so being *eye and ear witnesses*, of what Christ did and said, of his words, his miracles, his resurrection, his ascension, they might infallibly know them by ordinary means. And so a good Christian may

19

may doubt whether they had the Spirit infallibly, to transcribe and cite every passage in the old Testament, visible to all, or to relate the things which they saw done with their eyes; or to report the history of several actions which were then done: (as what was the place and power of *Herod, Archelaus, Pilate, Falix, Festus, &c.*) and such other parts of common History.

Ninethly, And we all confess that the *words* are but as the *Body* of the Scripture and the *sense* as the *Soul*: And that the *words* are for the *sense*. And there is more of the *Spirits* assistance in the *sense* and *soul* of the Scripture than in the *words* and *body*: And that there is in the *phrase* and *method* somewhat of (blameless) humane imperfection: And that as *David* was not stronger then *Goliah*, nor his weapons more excellent in themselves, but God would overcome strength by the means of the more weak; so an *Aristotle* may be more accurate in method, and a *Demosthenes, Varro* or *Cicero* in words and phrase than an Apostle; And they may be left to the im-

B

per-

20

perfections of their several gifts (diversified by nature or education) in their stile. And God may hide that from the wise and prudent which he revealeth to babes; And by the foolishness of Preaching may save believers, and confound the wisdom of the world, and by things that are not, bring to nought things that are, that no flesh may glory in his sight. Nor do we say that no man may seek or attain more Logick, Philosophy or Grammar than he findeth in the Scriptures.

Tenthly, As Protestants receive not so many Books as Canonical as the Papists do, so some Protestants have not received so many as the rest: And so many possibly erre in thinking that some part of the Scripture is not the word of God, and consequently may think it of more uncertain credit.

Eleventhly, Some have thought that *Matthew* being at first written in Hebrew or Syriack, and after translated into Greek, that the Translator being unknown, the credit of the Translation must be the less certain, because they know not whether the translator was one that had a promise
of

21

of Infallibility, though doubtless they erre who so conclude.

Twelfthly, Some think, that as certainly there are a great number of various Readings, which all prove that some of the Copies erre, so it is uncertain to us, whether *all those* which we have, may not in some *words* or *particles* differ from others which we have not, and from the autographs; seeing each scribe had not a promise of Infallibility.

Thirteenthly, If some particular Books of Scripture were not extant, or never known to some men, yet the rest may teach those same men, all the Christian Religion, to their Salvation. Therefore if they may be Christians and saved without knowing of that particular Book, they may possibly be so, without knowing that it is Canonical, or of Divine and certain truth.

Fourteenthly, Yea more, no doubt but it is possible to be saved (and to be good Christians) without being certain what is contained in any one Book of the Bible, totally; for he that cannot Read, may possibly not hear
the

22

the whole Book from another, at least so as to understand and remember it: And yet he may hear the same Doctrines out of another Book. Yea more, it is past doubt that a man may (in some cases or circumstances) be a true Christian who knoweth not that *there is any Scripture which is Gods Infallible word*. For first so all the believers of the old world were saved, before *Moses* wrote the Law; And the Christian Churches were gathered and thousands converted to Christ, many years before a word of the New Testament was written. Secondly, And all the thousands and millions of Christians who cannot read, do know that there is such a Book which hath such words in it, but on the credit of other men. Thirdly, And we know not but the Papists, (who are too great undervaluers of the Scriptures, and lock it up from the Laity, and over magnifie Tradition) may keep thousands among them without the knowledge that *there is a Book which is Gods word*; And yet may teach them the Christian Religion by *other means*, after to be mentioned: And it seemeth by
the

23

the *Epist. Jesuit. & Masaeus Histor. Judic.* and other writings, that in *Japan, Congo, China,* and other Countries of the *East*, they did teach them onely by Creeds, Catechismes, and preachings: And I remember no knowledge that they gave to most of them of the Scriptures: And yet the most cruel torments and martyrdoms never before heard of, which the Christians in *Japan* endured (of which see *Varentus* history) doth put all sober readers past doubt, that there were many excellent Christians. And if *other means* may make men Christians who are *never told* of the holy Scriptures, than those *same means with the Scriptures*, may make them Christians, who are made believe that all Scripture passages are not the infallible dictates of Gods Spirit.

I have given you instances enough, to prove that many may be Christians and have a *certain faith*, who are not *certain of all things* in the Scriptures: And therefore though all these persons are herein defective or erroneous, yet that Christianity may be otherwise known and proved: Yea though the

B 3

case

²⁴

case of the Scriptures were as these mistaking persons think. And I told you how many waies, besides Scripture, the summe and necessary substance of the Christianity is delivered down from the Apostles to the world; *Reas. of Christ. Rel. pag. 336, 337.* First, in the very successive Being of Christians and Churches, who are the Professors of this Doctrine. Secondly, In a succession of Pastors, whose office was to preach it. Thirdly, In a succession of Baptism, which is that solemnizing the Christian Covenant, in which the sum of the Gospel is contained. Fourthly, In the three brevitates or symboles of the Christian Religion, the Creed, Lords Prayer and Decalogue, which all the Christian Churches still used. Yea every one that was baptized at age (and the Parent for the Infant) did openly make profession of the Christian faith, and of Religion in all the essential particulars. Fifthly, In the Churches use of Catechising those who were to be baptized, that they might first know that Religion which they were to enter. Sixthly, In that constant Communion
of

²⁵

of all the Churches in their solemn Assemblies, and setting apart the Lords day to that use; where in their worshipping of God, they expressed and excercised their Religion. Seventhly, In the constant preaching of the Gospel by the Pastors. Eightly, In the constant Celebration of the Sacrament of Christs Body and Blood; wherein the summe of the Gospel was recited and expressed. And the custome was also to repeat the profession of their Belief. Ninethly, The frequent disputations of the Christian Pastors for their Religion against all Heathens, Infidels and Heroticks. Tenthly, The writings of the said Pastors, Apologies, Doctrinal, Historical, Commentaries, Devotional. Eleventhly, The Confession and Sufferings of the Martyrs. Twelfthly, The Decrees, Canons and Epistles of Councils or Assemblies of the Christian Pastors. Thirteenthly, And after these the Decrees and Laws of Christian Princes: in all which we have no need of any peculiar Tradition of the Church of *Rome*. Fourteenthly, Yea, we may adde the Confessions of Adversaries, who tell us

part of the Christians Religion; as *Pliny, Celsus, Julian, &c.* All these waies set together, told men what Christianity was. Fifteenthly, But the fullest and surest discovery of it was, by the holy Scripture of it self, which was constantly read in the Assemblies of the Christians. In all this I have but told you, by how many waies and means materially the Gospel Doctrine was made known.

Now the great Question is, *Whether by all these means we might come to a certainty of the truth of the Christian Faith, in case we could not prove every word or particle of Scripture to be Gods word, and so to be true.* They that deny it, say, *That he that can mistake or be deceived in one thing, may be so in another, and we cannot take his word as certain, who sometimes speaketh falsly; for we can never be sure that he speaketh the truth,* But I affirm the thing questioned, and shall shew the mistake of this reason of the Adversaries.

First, It must be remembered that we ascribe *Infallibility Primitive and Absolute* to God and no other. Therefore

27

fore we are *certain* that so much is *true* as is *Gods word*.

Secondly, We are Certain that *all that is the word of God*, which he hath set his *seal or attestation to*, which I have largely opened in the Book which you oppose; All that which hath the *Antecedent and Constitutive and Concomitant and subsequent Attestation of God* there opened, we are *certain is of God*.

Thirdly, We are Certain that the *Person of Christ, and his own Doctrine* had all this fourfold Divine Testimony: And therefore that *Christ and his Doctrine* are of God, and true. And consequently that Christ was the Son of God, the Redeemer of the world, the Head of the Church, and whatever he affirmeth himself to be.

Fourthly, We are certain that the *Apostles as Preachers of this Gospel, and performers of the Commission Delivered them by Christ*, had the *same attestation* in kind as Christ himself had: They had the same *SPIRIT*. Though the antecedent testimony by *Prophesie* was not so full of *them*, as it was of *Christ*, yet the *Gospel* which they *preached* and

B 5

left

28

left in writing First, Hath in it still visibly to the eye of every truly discerning person, the Image of Gods *Power, Wisdome and Goodness*. Secondly, The same Gospel as preached and delivered by them had the Concomitant Testimony of abundant certain Miracles, Propheties and holy works. Thirdly, The same Gospel maketh that impression on the souls of true receivers, which is the Image of Gods power, wisdome and goodness, and so proveth it to be of God. The concurrence of these three is a full and certain proof.

Now if there be any *doubtfulness* in any of this, it must be, First, Either *what it is* that these *Attestations* prove, Secondly, Or whether they are *really Divine Attestations*. Thirdly, Or whether *Divine Attestations* are a certain *proof of Truth*.

To begin at the last. First, If Divine Testimony be not a certain proof of Truth, then there is no possible proof in the world. For there is no *Veracity* in any Creature, but derivative from God: And then it must be either because a Lie is as perfect and
Good

29

Good as Truth (which humanity, reason, and all the world contradicteth, and humane society abhorreth, there being no savages so barbarous as to think so) or because *God is imperfect*, either in *wisdome to know* what is *True* and sit, or in *Goodness to choose* it, or in *Power to use* it: That is, that God is not God, or that there is *no God* (and consequently no Being) for an Imperfect God, an *unwise*, an *ill*, an *impotent Being*, is *no God*. And verily all our Controversies with the *Infidel* and the *Impious*, and the *Persecuter*, must finally come to this, *Whethen there be a God*.

II. And that these were really Divine Attestations, I have fully proved in the Treatise. First, They are Divine Effects, and the Divine *Vestigia* or Image. Secondly, And such as none can do but God. None else can give that full Antecedent Testimony of Prophesie: None else could have done what Christ did; in his Life, Death, Resurrection and Ascension: None could heal all Diseases, work all Miracles, raise the Dead with a word: None else could do what the Apostles did;

³⁰

did; in Tongues, and Miracles, and wonderous gifts, and these wrought by so many, before so many, for so long a time. No other Doctrine could it self bear Gods Image of Power, Wisdome and Goodness so exactly, nor make such an Impresse of the same Image on the souls of men: Nay though this same Doctrine by the Spirit of God be adopted to such an effect, yet would it not *do it* for want of *Powerfull* application, if God by the same *Spirit* did not set it home: so that the sanctification and renovation of souls, is a Divine Attestation of this sacred Gospel. And besides all the past Testimonies (of Christs and his Apostles Miracles) here is a double Testimony from God still vouchsafed to all true Believers to the end of the world; The one is *Gods Image* on the holy Scriptures; The other is, The same Image by this Scripture (and the Spirit that indited it) printed on all true Christians souls. Divine, Power, Wisdome and Goodness, hath imprinted it self first upon the *sacred word*, or *doctrine*, and by that produceth unimitably, holy *Life*,
Light,

³¹

Light, and *Love* in holy souls. True Christians know this: They feel it: They profess it: They have this Spirit in them, illuminating their minds, sanctifying their wills, and quickening them to vital operation and execution; And this is Christs *Advocate*, and *Witness* still dwelling in all his members. I speak not of an *immediate verbal* or *impulsive revelation* in us, but of a *Holy indwelling nature, principle, operation*, conforming the soul to God, and proving us to bear his Image. This is *Christs Witness* in us, that He is Christ indeed and True; And this is *Our Witness*, that we are the Children of God: And it is our *Inherent earnest* and *pledge, first fruits and foretasts* of the glory which Christ hath purchased and promised. If you know no such thing in your self as this, you have resisted the Holy Ghost, or Quenched the Spirit. And if you *would* not have him dwell and operate in *your heart*, no wonder if you cannot see him in the *holy word*: And if you would not consent that he *Rule your Mind and Life*, no wonder if you deny him also in that word which
he

32

he did make to Rule you.

If you question the Real existence of these several Testimonies of Gods Spirit, First, Those that were given to *Christ and his Apostles*, I have plainly proved to you in the Treatise, were delivered down to the world three waies. 1. By the *most credible humane Testimony*, to produce a *humane Faith*. 2. By such a *Connexion and such Circumstances* of those *humane Testimonies* as amount to a *Natural Infallible Certainty* (As we have of the Wars in *England*, and that there was such a man as *K. Charles, K. James, &c.* and that our Laws were made by the King and Parliament, that *London* was burnt, that there is such a City, &c. even to them that see not any of these.) 3. By *new Divine Attestations to these Attestations*; so that there concurrereth. First, A *full humane Faith*. Secondly, A *Natural Certainty*. Thirdly, A *Divine Faith*, to the ascertaining us, that Christ did die, rise, ascend, work miracles, give the Spirit, and by it the Apostles wrought the like.

Secondly, And the *other two Testimonies*

monies still shew themselves: They are yet in *Being*. The sacred *Gospel* is among is and on it the Life, Light, Love fore-described. The *Believers sanctified* by this Gospel are among us; and have within them the Impressed *Life, Light, Love*. We see it (where distance, selfishness, prejudice or malignity hindereth not) shining (though as through a Lanthorn) and *working* (though imperfectly) in *others*: And they that have it may so feel it in themselves, as will preserve them against the Cavils of Unbelievers.

As the *Great Creator* hath his standing Testimony in the *Natural Conscience* of mankind, which in despite of the Devil shall keep up some *Natural Religion* in the world; And they that have not a *written Law*, are a *Law unto themselves*, shewing that God hath a *Law* in their *hearts*; So the *Gracious Redeemer* hath his standing *Witness* in the sanctified, even his *holy Spirit*, the *Divine Nature*, the *New Creature*, the *Image of God, the Father, Son and Spirit* dwelling in them, by *Divine Life, Light* and *Love*, so as shall keep up a Church of holy ones to Christ, in despite

34

spight of all the powers of Hell, even the *spirits* of *Death*, of *Darkness*, and of *Malignity*; And so much for the Validity of Gods Attestation.

III. All then that remaineth doubtful, or further to be spoken to, is, *What it is that God hath thus attested by the Holy Ghost.*

And First, We are sure it is not *nothing*; It is not *nothing* that all this is done for: nor *nothing* that maketh this change on souls. Secondly, We are sure it can be no less than the Truth of the Person, Office and Doctrine of Christ himself: He hath certainly by this proved his own Verity and Veracity: for his own Miracles and Resurrection were seals affixed hereunto: Thirdly, We are sure that the *same Gospel* spoken by himself, was confirmed also when spoken or written by his Disciples. Else the same should be sure and not sure. Fourthly, We are sure that the Apostles Miracles, &c. confirmed all their Commissioned work. I have proved this in my Treatise of the *Lords Day*. Whatever Christ *Promised* them the *Spirit* for, that he gave them the *Spirit* for. He
that

35

that findeth his *Promise* with the *Performance*, may know that it was the *Promise* which was *Performed*. Therefore our work is to find out that *Promise*.

And First, We find their Commission, Mat. 28. 19, 20. *Go and Disciple me all Nations, Baptizing them into the Name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost: Teaching them to observe all things whatever I have Comanded you.* And the Promise is, *Lo, I am with you alwaies to the end of the world.* And Joh. 16. 7, 12, 13, 14, 15. *It is expedient for you that I go away: for if I go not away, the Advocate will not come unto you: But if I depart I will send him unto you. I have yet many things to say unto you, but you cannot bear them now. Howbeit, when he the spirit of truth, is come, he will guide you into all the truth: For he shall not speak of himself, but whatsoever he shall hear, that he shall speak; and he shall shew you things to come: He shall glorifie me; for he shall receive of mine, and shew it unto you,* Luk. 24. 49. *And behold I send the promise of my Father upon you: But tarry ye in the City of Jerusalem, till ye be ended*

36

duced with power from on high; so Act. 1. 5. Ye shall be Baptized with the Holy Ghost not many days hence, Verse 8. But ye shall receive power after that the Holy Ghost is come upon you, and ye shall be witnesses to me, both in Jerusalem and to all Judea, and in Samaria, and unto the uttermost parts of the earth. John 17. 8. I have given to them the words which thou gavest me, and they have received them, Verse 17, 18. Sanctifie them through thy truth: thy word is truth: As thou hast sent me into the world, so I have also sent them into the world: And for their sakes I sanctifie my self, that they also might be sanctified through the truth. John 14 26. But the Comforter, which is the Holy Ghost, whom the Father will send in my name, he shall teach you all things, & bring all things to your remembrance whatsoever I have said unto you.

Adde to these the Texts which mention the *Performance* of these *Promises*; as *John 20. 22. Act. 2. Act. 15. 28. Heb. 2. 3, 4.* So great salvation, which at first began to be spoken by the Lord, and was confirmed to us by them that heard him: God also bearing them witness, both with signs and wonders,
and

37

and with divers miracles and gifts of the Holy Ghost, according to his own will, 1 Pet 1 12. The things which are now reported unto you, by them that have preached the Gospel unto you, by the Holy Ghost sent down from Heaven. Rom. 15. 19, 20. Through mighty signs and wonders, by the power of the Spirit of God, so that from Jerusalem, and round about by Illyricum, I have fully preached the Gospel of Christ. Gal. 3. 2. This onely would I learn of you: Received ye the Spirit by the works of the Law, or by the hearing of Faith?

By all this it is evident, that the Spirit was given them to enable them to understand the Gospel, and to preach it to the world; to remember all that Christ had taught them: to help them to deliver the Covenant of Grace, and draw men into it, and Baptize them; To gather Churches, and to teach them to observe all that Christ had commanded them, and made part of his Laws. To teach them all truth which was Evangelical or part of their Ministerial Office. To enable them to be most certain and full in their Testimony of what they had heard from
Christ,

³⁸

Christ, and seen him do, which was part of the Gospel. In a word to perform all their proper Office.

I do not at the present suppose you to take these Texts for the word of God: For I must suppose you to be an Infidel: But I onely offer them as part of the certain historical evidence, concurring with all the forementioned history and evidence of the fact, to prove *what it was* which the Apostles miracles were used to confirm. This same Gospel they preached every where, when they wrought these miracles. And if they confirmed not *the Gospel*, or Christian Religion, they confirmed *nothing*. So that it being *certain* that this *Spirit* and *Miracles* were real, and *certain* that they were the Testimony of *God*, and certain that it was the *Truth of Christs person, actions, doctrine, sufferings, resurrection, ascension, and Covenant and Commandments*, which they attested, and all that is properly the *Gospel* or *Christian Religion*, what hindereth our certainty of all this. If it were a doubt whether the Spirit attested *more*, it is never the more doubtful, whether he
at-

attested this much. The Apostles constantly preached this Gospel; They Baptized persons into the New Covenant; They opened the Articles of the Faith to them, and caused them to profess that Faith; They engaged them into the promise, and directed them in the practice of a godly, righteous and sober life: And they confirmed all this by miracles. And is not all this then made sure? (Yea, before they wrote any of the Scriptures:)

And now to the Objection, [*He that speaketh falsly in one thing is to be believed certainly or as infallible in nothing*] I again answer, it is a blind Objection. God onely is absolutely infallible. All men are fallible in some things: We are not to believe that the Apostles could erre in nothing at all. *Peter* knew not what he said, when he talkt of dwelling on the Mount. They *could erre*, and they *could sin*: And he that *sinneth*, *erreth*: They were not absolutely perfect; But it is in *certain particulars*, even in the Declaration of the *Gospel*, that *God would not suffer them to erre or to deceive*. Those words which the Holy Ghost did by
in-

⁴⁰

inspiration dictate to them, it is certain that all those words the same Holy Ghost attested: That is, *To all the word of God.*

And *thus much* being past doubt, what if we were now at a loss about some Appurtenances of the Gospel, whether they were any of the Spirits dictates, or any part of the word of God: or any proper part of that which the Apostles were Commissioned for, and Spiritually Enabled to teach? What if in some points which they could know by common sense infallibly as well as other men, any one should think that they were left meerly to that certainty of sense. What if one be uncertain which are the *Parts*, and which but the Appurtenances of the Gospel in some things which salvation is not laid on? Or were uncertain whether the Spirit did determine the Speakers tongue or pen about every such Appurtenance? What's this to the invalidating of any of the rest? If indeed when they speak by the Spirits Revelation, they spake falsly at any one time, we could never be sure that they spake true.

But

41

But when we are sure that all is *true which they speak by the Spirit*, and sure that *they spake the Gospel*, or *delivered the Christian Religion by the Spirit*, and are onely not sure whether every word in Genealogy, or by circumstances were spoken by the Spirit, nothing will follow hence, but that *every word of God is true*, and *every word of the Apostles which was a word of God*: And it is perversness to argue, *They may erre when they speak their own words as men: Therefore they may erre when they speak Gods words by the Spirit.*

First, The Testimony of the Internal sanctifying Spirit, is infallible. And so much as this Spirit attesteth to me is true, And I am sure that this Spirit attesteth the truth of the Gospel in me; for the substance of the Gospel is imprinted on my heart; and by the *impression* I know the *seal*. But what if I find on me no part of Gods Image, which was made by the *name of Jorams Father or Son*; what if I feel no Testimony of the Spirit in me, which tells the age of such or such a man there named; Nor can prove by the *Spirit* in me, how far *Bethany* was
from

42

from *Jerusalem*; What if the mention of *Pauls Cloak and Parchments* did not sanctifie me? Must I be uncertain of *that which did?*

Secondly, What if I read a promise in the Scripture that God will never fail me nor forsake me? but will preserve me in safety to his Kingdome? If I were uncertain whether this promise extended to every hair of my head, so that none of them should perish? or to the preservation of my Colour, and such like accidents; Will it follow, that I cannot be sure that I my self, my soul, my person, shall not be forsaken?

What if I have a promise that *all things shall work together for my good*; And I am uncertain whether sins or my own follies, or rashness, or the creeping of every worm in the world, or the shaking of every leaf be numbered with those [*All things.*] Must I be uncertain therefore whether *any thing* shall work for my *good*? or whether *sufferings for Christ* shall do it?

Thirdly, What if I be uncertain whether the vegetative faculties or soul in man, be material or immaterial?

43

terial? Must I be as uncertain whether man have an immaterial (or incorporeal) soul? and whether the intellectual powers be such or not?

Fourthly, What if I be in doubt when the Law doth summon a man to any place, or command him any office, whether it meant that he shall not change his cloaths, or leave them off, nor cut his hair or nails, but bring all with him? Doth it follow that I must be as uncertain whether the person himself must come or not?

Fifthly, What if I be disputing whether a Tree be wood? and I cannot tell whether the leaves, their ribs, or stalkes be truly wood or not? must I therefore be uncertain of all the rest?

Sixthly, What if we dispute whether all the Kings officers are to be obeyed? and it be a doubt to me, whether a Prelate or an Apparator be the Kings Officers? can I therefore be assured of no others?

Seventhly, When a witness swear-eth to any writing, that it is true, or to any interrogatories; If I be uncertain whether it be the true spelling,

C

or

44

or Syntax of the words, or the propriety of every phrase, or every circumstance of the matter which he attesteth, must I therefore be uncertain whether he attest any thing at al?

This one consideration may shew the unreasonableness of such conclusions; That all Systems Physical and Moral, have their great Essential or principal parts, and their smaller Integrals, and their Accidents, which are no proper parts. And the Great and Principal parts are *few, plain, discernable* and *necessary* to the being or the greatest Ends: The *Integrals* are *numerous, small, hardly discernable,* and *necessary* only to Perfection. The Accidents are some of them yet of a lower nature, lesse necessary, and less discernable. At the master trunks its easie to know which is a vein, and which an Artery, and which a Nerve, and what is their number: But when you go to their extremities, they will appear innumerable, small, and scarce discernable. I can know many grand trunks or boughs a tree hath, when I cannot know the number of the thousands of sprigs at the extreamities,
nor

45

nor just where the woody nature ceaseth, and the leaves or frutex doth begin. So I can easily know in the frame of Grace, that Faith, Hope, and Love are the fruits of the Spirit, and so is every true part of Holiness. But to know of every particular thought whether it be the fruit of the Spirit, and a real part of holiness or not, is not so easie.

Even so in our present case we can easily prove that all that is Gods word and *uttered* and *sealed* by his Spirit is true. But to come to a *full certainty* of *every book* whether it be truly Canonical, and *every Copy* that varieth in some *readings* from others, or of every *Genealogical Chronological Topographical*, or *Historical* word; every phrase, location, order of sentences, citation of the Prophets, whether it were certainly all done by the Infallible inspiration of the Holy Ghost, is a thing that requireth more knowledg than every true Christian hath, as not having the same clearness and notoriety of Evidence as the *Gospel* or *substance of Christianity* hath. Not but¹ that all Gods word is True, and all²

Truth

¹ Page corner torn. Interpolated from *The Practical Works Of Richard Baxter*, Vol. 21 (London: 1830), p. 541.

² Ibid.

 46

Truth is equally *Truth*, it having not a *magis & minus*; but all *Truth* is not equally *notorious* or *evident*.

Prop. 2. *Yet all that is in the Scriptures expressed as Gods word, is certainly true; And no error or contradiction is in it, but what is in some Copies, by the failing of Preservers, Transcribers, Printer, or Translators.*

The Reasons why I have premised the former Propositions is, First, For your own sake, Secondly, For the sake of many Infidels that now have the same mis-apprehensions, Thirdly, And for the sake of many thousand weak, dark and tempted Christians: That you may not think that you may renounce Christianity, if you could prove a Contradiction or mistake in the Scriptures; there being greater certainty of our Religion, than of every single word in the Bible. And that every Christian may not think that he must needs doubt as much of Christianity it self, and of all the Gospel,³ as he doth whether such a Text be Gods⁴ word, or have any contradiction

3 Page corner torn. Ibid. p .542.

4 Page corner torn. Ibid.

47

diction to another; And that he can have no more certainty of the Gospel, than he hath of *Jorams* son, or whether *Matthew* did rightly apply the Prophesie that Christ should be called a *Nazarene*, *Mat.* 2. 23. or the name of *Jeremy*, *Mat.* 27. 9. or whether *Jude* be Canonical, and the Epistle to *Laodicea*, and *Clemens Rom. ad Cor.* not Canonical; or whether *Henochs* prophesie cited by *Jude* be Divine; with many such like. We need not spread the sails so wide to the temptations of Satan as if we must let go all, if we doubt of the divine authority of any one word.

But yet that *indeed every word* is *Divine* and *sure*, which is delivered as *Gods word*, I now assert.

My meaning in that limitation is this: There are some passages (as I said) spoken only *historically*, and contain the Narration of some words of the Devil (as to *Job*, *Christ*, and as most think, to *Saul* at *Endor* &c.) and some words of wicked men, and some words of weak and common persons: And all these are not mentioned as the words of God: As the words of *Job's*

C 3

Friends,

48

Friends, which God reprov'd; The words of the old Prophet that lyed in the name of the Lord to the young Prophet to his destruction: The words of *Jonas*, I do well to be angry; and the words of Christs Enemies, Perfecutors, &c. Yea the mention of the Old Prophet remembreth me, that all words spoken as *in Gods name*, and that by a pretended, yea by a *real Prophet*, are not therefore the *words of God*; *Micheah* onely may say true, while *Zidkiah* and all the rest of *Ahabs* Prophets may lie, as in the name of the Lord. *Balaam* and the afore-said old Prophet, and many such may say true, when Gods Spirit doth inspire them, and yet lie at another time in Gods name. And what *Paul* meaneth by his [*not the Lord but I*] I leave to consideration. Whether in *1 Cor.* 14. all those that he correcteth for a disorderly using even the Miraculous gifts of tongues and prophesying, &c. had their Timeing and *ordering* of their gifts from the same Spirit that gave them the gifts, you may judge. And some Protestant expositors have doubted, whether *James* and
and

49

and the rest were guided by the Spirit, when they perswaded *Paul* to go into the Temple, to shew the *Jews* that he observed their Law: Though I think that Counsel was of the Spirit, because *Paul* concurred in obeying it. But one instance I more doubt of myself; which is, when Christ and his Apostles do oft use the Septuagint in their Citations out of the old Testament, whether it be alwaies their meaning to justifie each *translation*, and *particle of sense*, as the word of God and rightly done; or onely to use that as tolerable, and containing the main truth intended, which was then in use among the Jews, and therefore understood by them: and so best, as suited to the auditors. And so whether every citation of numbers or Genealogies from the Septuagint, intended an approbation of it in the very points in which it differeth from the Hebrew Copies; such plain exceptions, being promised I assert that *All that is said in the Bible as by the spirit of God, by men that had the promise of his Spirit, and especially by the Apostles, is certain Truth and hath no*

C 4

Con-

50

Contradiction in its parts.

Before I give you my Reasons, I think it meet to remove all ambiguity of the words, [*Infallible or Certain*] that I may be rightly understood.

First, The consent of all sober Divines and Philosophers teacheth us to distinguish between *objective* and *subjective certainty*, that is, The certain Truth of the *Thing*, and the *Certainty* of our own apprehension of it.

Secondly, The word *certain*, when applied to the Apprehension, sometime signifieth an infallible apprehension, and sometime a clear and strong apprehension, excluding both deceit and *doubts*; and by some abusively to a *strong Apprehension*, which excludeth *Doubts* but not *Deceits*. Thirdly, In the *object Infallibility* sometime signifieth nothing but *Verity*, which whoever believeth is not deceived: And sometimes it signifieth also such clear evidence, as is in its kind sufficient to banish all considerable doubting. And now I conclude.

First, Whatever is *True*, is objectively *certain* and *Infallibly* true;

51

so far as that no man in Believing it true, is therein *deceived* or mistaken. All Truth is Certain Infallible Truth in it self.

Secondly, Few Truths in the world are so *Evident* as that a *blinded prejudiced indisposed* person, may not be ignorant of them, or erre about them.

Thirdly, All Truths in the Scripture have not *equal evidence*, that they are the *word of God* (though all that is known to be the word of *God*, if equally so known, have equal evidence in the formal reason of saith, that they are true.)

Fourthly, All *known* Truth is *infallibly* known; that is, He that *knoweth* it, is not *deceived*, nor can possibly be deceived by taking it to be *true*: so that as *Infallibility* signifieth [*not being deceived*] all true knowledge is *subjectively infallible* and certain; that is, its true.

Fifthly, No man can know that *Infallibly*, which is not *objectively certain*: that which is not *True*, cannot be *known* to be true. The strongest and most confident belief of a falshood, is a *false* belief, and more than *fallible*

52

ble or uncertain.

Sixthly, All Gods word being *equally true* and *infallible*, the belief of it is also equally true and infallible. But being not All *equally intelligible*, *evident* (to be his word,) and *necessary*, the *understanding and belief* of every part is not equally *easie*, *strong*, *past doubting*, or *necessary*.

Seventhly, There is a superficial belief of Divine Revelations (even the Gospel) which a natural man may have by extrinsick means. And there is a more clear apprehension, which a Commoner sort of Grace may produce: But that Belief which is so *clear* and *powerful* as truly to sanctifie and save the soul, must be the effect of the special operation of the Holy Ghost (who yet hath a course of appointed means in which we must receive it.)

Eighthly, The reason of this necessity of the Spirits operation of *faith*, and then *by saith*, is not because the Gospel wanteth due *Ascertaining Evidence*; or an aptitude to convince and sanctifie a soul: For its highly *Rational* (though mysterious) and
 Good.

53

Good. But because by corruption and pravity the mind of man is so undisposed to *know, believe, and love truths* of such a *nature*, as that there is need of a special Internal higher Operator to set home the work as the hand of a man setteth the seal upon the wax, and to do that by it which the bare word alone, with the excellentest preacher, cannot do.

Ninethly, Yet is no wicked Infidel excuseable, that saith, *If I cannot believe it, I will not believe it*; Because First, It is his pravity which is his disability; Secondly, He is more *able* for a common superficial belief, than for a special effectual belief. Thirdly, And if he did by the help of that common belief, do what he might, and God appointeth him in the use of means, to obtain a special Faith through grace, he should find that God hath commanded no man to labour and seek after grace in vain; and if any man have not that grace and power which is of necessity to his faith and salvation, it is long of himself, who useth not his commoner power and grace as he might use them. And

so

54

so much to prevent misunderstanding.

Now my Reasons why I take every *History, Chronology, Genealogy* in Scripture as *certainly true*, and *every other word*, which is spoken by a true Prophet and Apostle as by the Spirit, (and not disowned by the Scripture it self) but especially such as you accuse in the Gospel, are these.

First, *A Priore*, Because it seemeth to me that the *writing of the whole Books of the New Testament by them*, was done in the discharge of the Commission given them by Christ: And he promised his Apostles his Spirit for the performance of all their Commissioned office work. This *writing* is part of the *preaching* which Christ sent them for. And no doubt but the Spirit did cause them to write all the substantial part: And therefore we have reason to think that the smallest parts are from the same Author, and that he assisted them in the least as well as in the greatest. Yea the very *accidents* may have a perfection in their place, though less perfect in themselves. Though all the Evangelists use not the
same

55

same Method, or Order, nor repeat Christs sayings in the same terms; yet in respect to the whole frame, it may be best that there should be that diversity of words, and order, to preserve and declare the same sense and things. And even their plain and less accurate stile and method, may be best as fittest to its use and end.

Secondly, A *Posteriore*: There is no Caviller that yet hath proved any falshood or contradiction in any passages of the Scripture; Though the clearing of some of them require more than vulgar knowledge.

Thirdly, Saving the controversies about the few questioned Books and some few sentences, and words, the Church which received the Scriptures as Gods word, did receive the whole as his word, and as certainly true in every part.

Fourthly, Because that Spirit of Miracles in the Apostles, and that Spirit of Holiness in us, which attesteth the Christian Religion, doth receive it and attest it as found in the sacred Scripture, (though not as there alone:) And it putteth no exception
against

56

against any part of the sacred record: Therefore while it *particularly* attesteth the *chief parts*, it inferreth an attestation to the smallest. (for that *word* or *line* which is not strictly a *part* but an *accident* of the Christian Religion, is yet a *part* of the Bible which containeth it.)

Fifthly, And though all the reasons which I have given, prove, that the Truth of the Christian Religion may be certainly proved, though we could not prove every by expression in the Scripture to be true; and though we deny not but the Pen-men manifested their humane imperfections in stile and method; yet if each passage were not True, it would be so great a temptation to the weak, and make it so difficult to know in some points what is true, in comparison of what it would be, if *all be true*, that we have no reason to imagine this difficulty to our selves, while its unproved.

And having said this, I am here in order to answer your objections: which yet you should not have expected from me, whilst so great a number of books are already written
which

57

which have done it: And why should you bid me write that again which is written already, unless you had confuted what is written? If you understand Latine, you may find a multitude of such seeming contradictions, reconciled, in *Sharpius*, *Magrius*, *Althamer*, *Cumeranus*, but most fully in abundance of Commentators: If you understood not Latine, you may read enough in Dr. *Hammond*, and many other Annotaters and Commentaries, Mr. *Cradock's* Harmony, &c. And you may have enough that understand Latine to translate you the solutions (as out of *Spanhemii Dub: Evangel. Grotius*, *Jansenius*, *Chemnitius*, and such others.) And whereas you tell me that I *invite men to go to some Minister for satisfaction*, I do so: But if I had invited all men in *England* to seek *to me*, you may imagine how many of them I must fail, though they should never so much resolve to be Infidels and to perish unless I satisfie them.

But you greatly encourage me to a particular answer, by promising me, that [*you will trouble me with no more but these few places*] and that if I *clear* these

58

these from your imputation of contradiction, you [will conclude] as I do, and suppose the other places reconcileable.

First, Your first Case is of *Mat. 1. 8, 9. Joram begat Ozias, &c. Answ.* Here are two difficulties to be resolved; First, whether *Joram begat Ozias*, (called also *Azarias*.) Secondly, why *Matthew* leaveth out *Ahazariah, Joas* and *Amaziah*.

And for the first is it not strange that you should number this with contradictions? Are we not all called the *Children of Adam*? and *Abraham* called the *Father of all the Jews*? Is there not a *mediate* as well as an *immediate* Generation and Progeny? Is not *causa causae, causa causati*? Did not your *Great Grandfather* beget you *in causa*, while he begat him who begat him, who begat you immediately? What more common among the Hebrews, than to call *Posterity* the *Children of their Ancestors*. Even *Christ* is called *The son of David*? And use is the *master* and *expositor of words*. And you were born too late, to teach either *God* or the *World*, in what sense to use words so many hundred years ago?

59

ago? This Language was well understood by them that used the like.

And Secondly, For the next question you must understand the *scope* of an Author and his undertaking, if you will understand his words. *Matthews* designe was not to name every person in all these periods of time, from whom Christ descended; But first to shew for Memory sake, how the line of Christs Progenitors may be mentioned by *three fourteens* in *three several periods* of time: one from *Abraham* to *David*, and one from *David* to the *Captivity*, and one from *thence to Christ*: Therein commemorating as many as God was pleased hereby to make memorate, to their honour, and to shew the truth of the descent of Christ from *Abraham and David*. Secondly, And God is not bound to give us a reason, why he *omitteth* any of their names: But this probability is obvious, that seeing *Matthew* would for memory keep himself to the number of *fourteen*, none were fitter to be left out than the Posterity of *Athalia*, and so of *Ahab* and *Jezebel*; which God hath fortold
should

60

should be blotted out or abolished, 1 *King.* 21. 21, 22. And therefore he that would have the names of the wicked to rot would not here honour them with a place among the Progenitors of Christ: And yet the second Commandment limiting Gods visiting the sins of the Fathers on the Children to the third and fourth Generation, it is no wonder that the omission doth extend no further; And so suitable is Gods *word*, to his *providence*, that *these three men* were all cut off by the sword, whose memory is here cut off by *Matthew*.

II. As to your second pretended contradiction, First, Remember that it was none of the purpose of any of the Evangelists to say all that could be said, even of the sayings and doings of Christ himself; much less of any others: And therefore if that be said in one which is not said in another it is no wonder: And you must remember what Doctor *Hammond* hath noted of *Luke*, that *Luk.* 1. professing that he received his knowledg from others (though directed by the holy Ghost) he delivered the things themselves,
with

61

with less respect to the time and order, when every thing was said and done, than the other did observe, it being not his design to tell the time and order of each.

These things premised, set them all together and you will find that, First, *Mary Magdalen, Johanna, Maria Jacobi, and Sallome*, having bought spices and going to anoint the body of Jesus, said, [*who will roll away the stone for us?*] And when they came they found the stone rolled away by an Angel that sate upon it. Secondly, That Angel with another, speaks to the women, saying, *Fear not; I know you seek Jesus that was Crucified; why seek ye the living among the dead; he is not here, he is risen, as he said, Come see the place where the Lord was laid, &c.* Thirdly, Then the Women run and tell the Disciples, They have taken away the Lord and we know not where they have laid him. Fourthly, *Peter and John* run to the Sepulchre, and saw the clothes and returned. Fifthly *Mary Magdalen* being come back, stood weeping at the door of the Sepulchre, and looking

62

ing in, she saw *two Angels, one at the head, another at the feet of the place where Jesus lay; who say, Woman why weepest thou? she said, They have taken away my Lord, and I know not where they have laid him. Sixthly, Having said this, she looked behind her and saw Jesus, not knowing him, who said Woman why weepest thou? whom seekest thou? she thinking him to be the Gardiner, answered, If thou hast taken him away, tell me where thou hast laid him, &c. Jesus said to her touch me not, for I am not yet ascended, &c. But go to my brethren and say unto them, I ascend to, &c. Seventhly, Mary runs and tells this to the Disciples, that she had seen the Lord, and what he said to her: But they believed her not. Eighthly, Either at the same time before Mary was gone, or perhaps after she had overgone them to tell the Disciples, Jesus met the rest of the women, and said to them, All hail; and they laid hold upon his feet, and worshipped him. And Jesus said to them, fear not, go tell my brethren that that they go into Galilee, and there they shall see me Take all the Evangelists, and tell me. First, Whether*

63

here be any more than All *set* together say? Secondly, Whether in all this there be any Contradiction?

But if you should take Dr. *Hammonds* shorter Supposition, First, That *Mary* and the women came to the Sepulchre, and find that before they came the stone was rolled away by an Angel (who had affrighted the Keepers) Secondly, They go in and miss the body. Thirdly, *Mary* runs and tells *Peter* and *John*. Fourthly, They run and satisfie themselves, and return to the rest. Fifthly, The women staying at the Sepulchre, see the Angels; first one, on the stone, and on the right side of the Monument, and then two, one at the head and another at the feet of it. Sixthly, The Angels speak all that the Evangelists mention; and *Mary* to them. Seventhly, She turneth back and seeth Jesus, who speaketh to her, and to the rest what is recorded. Eighthly, Then she goeth and telleth all to the Disciples. If this order be supposed, what contradiction is here?

Where you say in *Luke* the women told the Disciples of the Angels first, before

64

fore Peter went, I answer, First, whether we suppose that they first told them of the words of the Angels that were *without the Sepulchre* before *Peter* went, and after of the Angels within the Sepulchre (which might be the same Angels, but not the same; apparition) or whether you only suppose *Luke*, as in Christs Doctrine so in these by-matters of fact, to intend only to deliver the *matter*, and not to tell just the *time and order*, There is no untruth nor contradiction in either supposition.

III. Your third question is fully answered in the answer of the Former. According to the first Harmony or supposition, *Matthew* onely mentioneth one of the Apparitions of the Angels, and one of *Maries* goings to the Disciples. And so this written in *Matthew*, was partly before *Maries* seeing Christ (*viz.* The Angels first appearance) and partly after (*viz.* her going the second time upon the second appearance of the Angels to tell them.)

According to the second Harmony, *Maries* speech to Christ was after the
An

65

Angels appearance even as they were turning away from the Angels to go tell the Disciples the second time; Jesus met them, and *Mary* as at first took him for the Gardiner; but quickly knew him, and had from him the words which you recite.

And now consider whether you deal reasonably with Christ and with your own soul, upon such poor cavils as these to argue against the Christian Faith, and plead for Apostasie? when the Gospel hath all the Divine attestations and evidences which I have opened in my Treatise, and you are not able to confute them? which leadeth me to my Third Proposition.

Prop. 3. He that first preveth the Truth of the Christian Faith by solid evidence, may and ought to be certain of that Truth, though he be not able to salve all seeming contradictions in the Scriptures, or answer all objections which occur! Yea certain of EVERY PARTICLE thereof.

This I prove by these following Arguments.

Arg. I.

66

Arg. 1. From the consent of all mankind, who are forced thus to conclude in all arts and sciences: There being none of them so plain and sure, but somewhat may be said against them, which few, if any man can answer. And *incommodum non solvit argumentum* must be their reply.

Arg. 2. From the *nature of objects* and the *imperfection of mans knowledg.* If we could be sure of nothing till we can answer all objections against it, we must come to *Zanchez* his *Nihil Scitur*: Nothing in all the world can be sure: Can no man be sure that there is any such thing as *Motion*, till he can answer the Objections that would first prove *no Vacuity*, and then no *Penetrability*, and then an impossibility till a Cession begin at the extremity of natural beings and continue unto the supposed *mobile*? Shall we say that a wheel cannot possibly turn *round*, because no one part first giveth place to the other to succeed it? Will you be able to answer all the difficulties tossed in the Schools, or but those mentioned in Mr. *Glanvils Scep sis Scientifica*, before you will be sure of any thing of those

67

those matters, where these difficulties are found?

He that can answer *all objections*, First, Is supposed not onely to *know*, but to know the matter in some *perfection*. And can none know certainly but those (who be they) that know in such perfection? *2dly*, Yea they are supposed to know *all other matters* which may any way relate to the matter in hand. And shall no man know *any thing certainly* till he knoweth *all things*? For instance.

First, What if the Question be whether there *be a God? the Creator of all?* Cannot I be sure of this till I can answer *Aristotles* Objections of the worlds Eternity, and all the rest which every Atheist will alleadge?

2dly, What if the Question be, whether God be *most wise?* Cannot I be sure of it by the notorious effects of his wisdom, till I can answer him that saith [He that maketh fools and permitteth so much madness and confusion in the world, & leaveth mankind in so great ignorance, is not *perfectly wise?*]

Thirdly, What if the Question be, *Whether God be perfectly good?* Cannot I be sure of it, till I can answer all

D

their

68

their Objections, who say [*perfect goodness* would make all things perfectly good: and would not let the world be in so much wickedness, nor so many tormenting Diseases to afflict us, nor the innocent Horse and Oxe to be laboured, tired, tormented and killed by us at our pleasure, &c.]

Fourthly, What if the Question were, *Whether God be Almighty?* Cannot I know it, till I can answer them who say, that [He that cannot make an infinite world is not infinite in power? He that hath a will which men can violate; he that indureth all the sin in the world, which he hateth, and the ruine and misery of so many millions whom he loveth, is not Almighty?]

Fifthly, What if the Questions *Whether man be a rational Creature? whether he have any free will? whether bruits have reason? whether plants and stones have sense?* Can I know none of these till I can answer all the Objections of the Somatists against the Soul? and all the Objections of *Hobs* against free will? and all the Objections of *Chambre* for the reason of beasts?

69

beasts? and all that *Campanella* hath said *de sensu rerum*?

In a Word, What shall we know in the world, if we can know nothing till we can solve all difficulties and Objections. Therefore I adde

Prop. 4. *The true method of one that would arrive at certainty, and not deceive himself and others, is to begin at the bottom, and discern things in their neerest, intrinsecal and most certain evidences: and afterwards to try the by-objections as he is able: And not to pore first upon the objected difficulties, and judge of all the cause by those.*

The plain truth and case of Christians is, that if God had not done more for them by giving them his *Spirit* by the *Gospel*, and *experience* of its truth in the effects, than their Teachers have done by a right instructing them in the evidences of Faith, or than the Reason of the most doth in a clear discerning of those evidences in the thing or word it self; it were no wonder if Apostates were more numerous than they are,

D 2

when

⁷⁰

when so many build on the sand, and are strangers to the true foundation, and will never see the evidences of the Christian Verity in it self; no wonder if poor Objections shake them, that never understood the nature and Reasons of their own Religion. If the Tree grow all in top, which exposeth it to the winds, and little in the *roots* which must hold it fast, no wonder if it be overthrown. When men never knew the great clear evidences of the Christian Religion, but take it up by Custome, Education and on the Credit only of the time and place in which they live, no wonder if every seeming weakness, error or contradiction in Scripture make them doubt.

First, Look to all Learning, Arts and Sciences? Do not learners that would know, begin at the Elements and Foundation? Do we not begin in Grammar with our Letters, Syllables, Words, and chief rules? And in all Arts and Sciences with the Elements and Principles.

Secondly, And Reason telleth us that the points that are most *necessary*, *clear* and *certain* must be held accord-
ly

71

ly with a more *clear, assured confidence* than those that are *unnecessary & dark*; And that *uncertainties* must be reduced to *certainities*, and not *certainities* to *uncertainties*; And that all arguing should be a *notiore*, and not a *minus not is*. And as I said before, as the Trunks of the Tree, the *Veins*, the Arteries, the Nerves are few and visible, and easily and surely known, when the thousands of little branches are hardly visible or numerable: so is it with the schemes of truths. He therefore that will begin at these numerous small branches will *dote* rather than *know* or learn.

As in the former instances. First, When I see with my eyes, the effects of *Power, Wisdome* and *Goodness* in all the visible works of God, I am sure that it is perfect Power, Wisdome and Goodness which is the cause of this: I am certain that nothing can give that which (*formaliter* or *eminenter*) it hath not to give, nor can the effect exceed the totall cause: I am certain that he from whom all Creatures Power, Wisdome and Goodness doth proceed, must needs himself be more Great and

D 3

Wise

⁷²

Wise and Good, than all the world of Creatures set together which he hath made. To this fundamental certainty therefore I must hold if I will not dote whatever little Objections or pratlings may be used against it.

Secondly, Eternity is a thing incomprehensible, which quite swalloweth up my understanding; and many little things be said against it? But I am *certain* that *nothing* can make *nothing*; And if ever there had been *nothing*, there never would have been any thing: And to this certainty I will hold.

Thirdly, A *holy* life hath a great many of cavilling Objections raised against it by corrupted nature. And shall I there begin to make my trial of it? No: I am first sure that a *Rational free* Agent and Subject of God, is bound to obey him, and that the *Greatest Good* should be *Greatliest loved*, and that we are totally our *Creators own*, and should be totally devoted to him: I am sure I *cannot love the infinite Good too much*, nor *be too Good*, nor *do too much Good to others* in the world, nor make too sure of my own *felicity*, nor
too

73

too much seek my ultimate end. And shall not this assurance hold me fast against all the snarlings and pratlings of the doating drunken world?

So here, I have in the Treatise opened those grounds on which we may be *certain* of the *necessity of this holiness*, of the *life to come*; and of the *truth of the Christian Faith and hopes*. And because God in mercy hath not put off the world with the skeleton of a bare Creed, but also given them the compleat body of sacred Scriptures, to be a full perpetual Record of this truth, shall I turn his mercy to a snare and sin, and question all, even the Articles of the Faith, because in the Scriptures there are some things accidental to Religion, and some things hard to be understood, which the ignorant and unskilfull wrest to their destruction? This is but to be Devils to our selves, and foolish enemies of our own peace and comfort: As *Cicero* speaks against them that pleaded for the souls mortality, as if it were a desirable thing.

D 4

You

74

You have *nothing else* that suiteth the Nature and Interest of a man, and agreeth with the Nature and Interest of God, to *set against* the Christian Religion in *Competition*. If you would have *no Religion*, you would have *no Hopes, no Safety, no Business or Comfort* but *Beastial* in this world, and you would be *no Men*. If you would have nothing but *Nature* and the *Holiness* which Nature clearly calleth for, you would have *Health in an unhealed Body*, and *Health* without the *Physician* and his *Means*. The *Mediator* is the way to the *Father*, and if you would *Love God* and be *happy in his Love* and have the *Pardon of your Sins*, you have little reason to reject him that cometh to *Procure, Reveal and Communicate that Love and Pardon*, which must win your hearts to the Love of God. And if you would not die in desperation, but have the hopes and foresight of a better life, you have little Reason to quarrel with a Messenger from Heaven, which bringeth Life and Immortality to light. As bad as Christians are, if personal quarrels and malignity blind you not, and
if

75

if you will not take the enemies and persecutors of Christianity for Christians, meerly because they assume the name, you may easily see that *serious Christians* who live according to their profession, are persons of another kind of excellency, than all the unbelieving world.

I know that from some self-conceited ignorant well meaning persons, I must look to be reviled and called a betrayer of Christianity, because I plead not for it in their way, and give you any other answer to your objections than [*That when God giveth you the spirit, you shall know that the Scripture hath no contradictions, and that Christianity is the true Religion: Till then you cannot know it; nor must I give you Reasons for it.*] But I do my work, and let who will wrangle and revile.

How far the sayings of some are true or false, [that the Scripture is the *onely* means of faith or saving knowledge of God?] that [it is *Principium indemonstrabile*, as first principles of knowledg are in nature] that [(as others say) It hath evidence of credibi-

D 5

lity,

76

lity, but not *evidence* of certainty] (as if evidence of Divine credibility or or faith, were not evidence of certainty) [that faith hath not evidence; but evidence evacuateth faith, or the merits of it] with such like, a man of understanding may gather from what is said: And I must not be so tedious as particularly here to resolve them, having done it (in Preface to the Second part of the Saints Rest. *Edit. 2. &c.*) long ago. And though I have written nothing here which some men cannot make an ill use of, and some men will not turn to matter of cavil and reproach, I will not therefore leave it out, whilst I expect that the *Good* which *Truth* is fitted to, is greater than the evil, which by accident and abuse will follow it.

And because you seem Confident, and think me bound to answer you, and consequently all others, not knowing how many hundreds may trouble me in the like kind, I send you this in print, that other mens mistakes and infidelity also may have the same remedies. But I shall conceal your name and dwelling, lest the shame of your
sin

⁷⁷

sin should hinder your patient application of the remedy, save onely by telling you, that it is long ago since I read a noble Learned Lord who in a Latine Book *De Veritate (Contra Veritatem)* said much against the certainty of faith: But it was all but learned froth and vanity.

I Rest

*A Servant of Christ, and desirer
of your faith and salvation,*

Dec. 28. 161.

R. Baxter

THE
SECOND PART
OF THIS
APPENDIX;
BEING

Some ANIMADVERSIONS

On the foresaid Treatise *De Veritate*, Resolving several Questions there included or implied.

HAVING let fall the mention of that noble Authors Treatise, it came into my mind, that it having never been answered, might be thought unanswerable, and so the more considerable. Therefore I ad-
join

80

join so much of my Animadversion, as the cause in hand requireth.

And First, I must give the Author the Honor of his great Learning and strength of wit. Secondly, I must confess that the Teachers of the Church, have been too often such, as have given him the scandal which he so oft expresseth, as more regarding their Interest than Truth, and not making clear the truth which they have taught, and often wronging it by their omissions or additions, or unsound explications. Thirdly, I confess the body of his Treatise containeth many very considerable things, in order to the disquisition of truth; especially about the sutableness of the faculties to the object, the conditions requisite to a true apprehension, and somewhat about the nature of truth it self: Though that which he calleth *Veritas apparentiae*, I had rather call, *Evidentia Veritatis rei*. And I am not willing to think that I have *as many different faculties* as there are *different plants* in my Garden, or *Books* in my Study, or *Sentences* in those Books; And in several things I miss that accurateness

rateness which he pretendeth to: But these I shall pass by.

“Page 217. he saith, [An vero aliud (praeter Paenitentiam) & quidem convenientius detur medium, unde justitiae divinae sit factum satis non est hic in animo exponere,— Hoc solummodo dicimus, (quicquid in adversam partem a quibusdam suggeratur) quod nisi sola penitentia & fide in Deum, vitia & scelera quaecunque eliminari possint, & Justitiae Divinae Bonitas Divina adeo sit λύτρον ut non sit ulterius quo provecetur, nullum universale ita patere, vel olim patuisse remedium, ut fuerit quo confugeret misera ex peccati sensu languentium turba, vel haberet unde gratiam & pacem illam internam conciliaret; & tandem in id deveniendum sit, ut quosdam, immo longe majorem hominum partem inscios (nedum invites) & creaverit & damnaverit Deus Opt. Max. Quod adeo horrendum, & Providentiae, Bonitati immo & Justitiae Divinae incongruum sonat, ut mitiori immo & equiori sententia dicendum sit, totum humanum genus
“ex

82

“ex paenitentia semper habuisse me-
 “dia unde Deo acceptum esse potuit;
 “quibus si exciderit, non jam ex Dei
 “bene placito, sed ex proprio homi-
 “num peccato, perditionem uniuscu-
 “jusque ex titisse, nec per deum ste-
 “tisse quo minus salvi fierent.] The
 first Question then is

Quest. I. *Whether if Christ, and not
 onely our Repentance, and belief in God,
 be taken for a sacrifice and price given
 to God for mans Redemption, it will fol-
 low that most of the world are damned by
 Gods will without any Remedy, to which
 they could have recourse for salvation?*

Answ. First, It is strange that men
 should be left Remediless, if Christ,
 and not onely their *Repentance* be the
 Remedy? Surely if Christ had given
 sinners *nothing*, yet he hath *taken no-
 thing from them.*

Secondly, We all confess the *uni-
 versal necessity* of *Repentance*? But this
 is partly *coordinate* (as the *end*) and
 partly *subordinate* (as an *effect*) and
 therefore not *contrary* to the necessity
 of a *Redeemer*? *Repentance* is our *con-
 version*, and our begun *Recovery* from
 sin: And will it follow that the *Physici-
 an*

83

an is unnecessary because *health* and *recovery* are *necessary*? Yea, and *sufficient* in their kind?

Thirdly, How doth it follow that the *Remedy* was not Universal, when *Redemption* by *Christ* was *universal*? *Christ* so far died for all men, as by his death he procured them any *Grace*. But he procured *Grace* (though not *equal Grace*) for all: You confess an *universal Grace*, and yet an *inequallity* of *benefits*: we say, that *Grace* was procured by *Christ*: Do we *narrow* it at all, by saying, *Christ* procured it?

Fourthly, I perceive some mens mis-explication of these things was your snare and scandal. First, We distinguish between *Christs* procurement of our pardon and salvation by his *sacrifice and merit with God*, and *Christ* as the *object of mans faith*, or as *Believed in* by man. We do not make the *latter* so universally necessary as the *former*. For we hold that *Infants* are saved, that believe not. But we hold that no one is saved for whom *Christ* did not satisfie *Gods Justice* and merit salvation. Secondly, And that

84

that this much causlessly offend you not, we say, That this satisfaction and merit consisteth not in an *identity* or gradual proportion of Christs pains or sufferings to all mankinds, but in an *Aptitude* of his *Sacrifice* and *Righteousness to attain the ends of God the soveraign of the world, (the demonstration of his truth, holiness and right councness, together with triumphant love and mercy)* better than the remediless damnation of all the sinning world would have done. Read but Master *Trumans Great Propitiation*, which sheweth you the true ends of the sacrifice of Christ, and this unjust offence will vanish. Thirdly, And we maintain (as is said) that the merit and Propitiation wrought by Christ, is not to make our *Repentance needless*; But to *procure* it, and to make it effectual to its ends. *He giveth us Repentance* and Remission of sins. You confess that we may and must make a *New Covenant* with God upon our Repentance: In that Covenant *God promiseth* us Grace, as *we consent* to be his servants and children. Now if Christ did *procure* (and as Gods General

85

neral Administrator) *Give* us that *promise* of pardon and salvation to the truly *Penitent*, doth not this *more* oblige us to *Repentance*, and not *less*? And the *merit* of Repentance (if you will so call it with the Ancients) is quite of another order, rank and nature than the merit of Christ. Its one thing for the Innocent son of God to merit Repentance, and pardon to all that will Repent; And another thing by Repenting (through his grace) to perform the Condition of the further Grace of pardon or salvation. Fourthly, And yet further to heal your unjust offence, we do not hold that Christ maketh God *more merciful* than he was, or that his Redemption is the *first cause* of our recovery and salvation, causing God to be *willing*, who was unwilling before: But that *Gods love and mercy* and his *own good will* is the *first cause*, which gave us Christ for a Redeemer as a *second cause*, an effect of his love, and the Head of all the *means* of our Recovery; and the true meriting cause of that Grace and salvation which God will give us. Nor so meriting as to *change God*, but so merit-

meriting as to remove the Impediments of his grace as to the Communication, and as to become the *sittest Instrument of the Fathers love and mercy*, by whom to Govern the lapsed world, and to communicate grace and life to sinners. Fifthly, And yet fullier to satisfie your objection, we hold that. *All mankind is brought by Christ under a Covenant of Grace*, which is not vain, nor repealed by God; but as their abuse of the Grace of the Covenant may cast them out. For as a Covenant of *Entire Nature or Innocency* was made with *all mankind in Innocent Adam*; so a Covenant of *Grace* was made with *all mankind in Lapsed Adam*, Gen. 3. 15. in the *promised seed*: and renewed again with *all mankind in Noah*. No man can prove either a *limitation* of this Covenant to *some*, (till the rest by violating it become the Serpents seed, at least) nor yet that ever God did *abrogate* it, as it was made to all the world. Sixthly, And we further acquaint you, that it was not the *existent humanity* of Christ, that procured Grace and Life to the world, for those (about) 4000 years

years before his Incarnation. The meer *Decree and Promise* did serve for mans salvation all that time, without the *Existence* of his Humanity. Seventhly, Therefore when you grant a necessity of Believing *in God, as merciful*, you must needs include *Christ* in his Divine subsistence, for you must needs grant that the eternal *λόγος* or *wisdome* of God, must be the fountain and *determiner* of all those means by which his Love and *mercy* would communicate recovering grace and life to man. You will not *divide God* as the object of our faith, and leave out the *wisdome* that must manage all. Eighthly, And yet further to remove your scandal, we maintain that the Jews themselves were not bound to believe many that are now Articles of our Faith (*that Christ was born of the Virgin Mary, suffered under Pontius Pilate, was crucified, dead and buried, descended to hades, and rose again the third day*) And that his very death and resurrection, were not believed by his own Apostles till he was risen. Nor understood they the Article of the Holy Ghost. So that before a more general

ral belief in the Messiah did serve the Jews themselves; That all this is so we are satisfied by this evidence. First, By the silence of the Old Testament in the Matter, giving us no proof that ordinary (much less *all*) believers, had such a particular knowledge of the office of Christ: And what is not revealed is not to be believed. Secondly, From *Heb.* 11. Where faith is described (as in its latitude) to be the evidence of things not seen, and the substance of things hoped for, and a believing that God is, and that he is the rewarder of them that diligently seek him, and a looking for a better country and for a City that hath foundations whose builder and maker is God and a believing the word and faithfulness of God, &c. And the Holy Ghost when he purposely describeth the faith which the ancients had been saved by, would never have left out the chief or any essential part. The same I say of *Rom.* 4. and *2 Cor.* 4. 18. and *5.* 1, 6, 7. *Jam.* 2 &c. Thirdly, The Text expressly telleth us. First, Of Christs Death and Resurrection, and consequently the offering himself

a sacrifice for sin, and ransom for the world, and dying for us, that the Apostles themselves were ignorant of it till after his Resurrection, *Mat. 16. 21, 22. When Christ told them that he must be killed and raised again the third day, Peter took him, and began to rebuke him, saying, Be it far from thee Lord, This shall not be unto thee, Luke 9. 44, 45. The son of man shall be delivered into the hands of men: But they understood not this saying and it was hid from them that they perceived it not. Again, Luke 18. 31, 32, 33, 34. All things written in the Prophets concerning the son of man shall be accomplished, for he shall be delivered to the Gentiles, and be mocked, and spitefully intreated and spit on, and they shall scourge him and put him to death, and the third day he shall rise again. And they understood none of these things, and this saying was hid from them, neither knew they the things which were spoken: So Mark 9. 32. Luke 24. 21, 25, 26. We trusted this had been he that should have Redeemed Israel—O fools and slow of heart to believe all that the Prophets have spoken, ought not Christ to have suffered these things*

90

things and to enter into his Glory: And beginning at Moses and all the Prophets, he expounded to them in all the Scriptures, the things concerning himself. Here you see that they knew not that he must die for our sins,, rise again and ascend to Glory: And that it is no proof that all that were justified before understood these things, because that *Moses* and the Prophets had foretold them; For the Apostles themselves understood it not in *Moses* and the Prophets. Secondly, They understood not aright the doctrine of his *Intercession*, and that he must go to the Father and then be their high Priest, and that they must come to God by him, and ask in his name. For it is said, *John* 16. 5, 6, 7. *Now I go my way to him that sent me, and none of you asketh me whither goest thou? but because I have said these things to you, sorrow hath filled your hearts—but it is expedient for you that I go away.* v. 24. *Hitherto ye have asked nothing in my name,* v. 26. *At that day ye shall ask in my name.* As for them that say that the Apostles knew that they were to come to God onely by a Mediator (as all the faith-
full

91

full did of old) but yet they knew not that they must come to him *by Christ*, and in his name. First, There was no Mediator existent but God before the Incarnation, though there was *to be a Mediator* after. Secondly, This implyeth that the Apostles knew not Jesus to be the Christ, and that they went to God by some other Mediator, which are both false. Thirdly, And if by *mediation* be meant the satisfactory price of his Sacrifice, they knew not that he was to die and to be a Sacrifice for Sin. Fourthly, And it is plain that they understood not rightly the nature of his *spiritual Kingdome and Reign*; But had got an opinion of an Earthly Kingdom for the Jews deliverance and exaltation: that Christ abideth for ever, *Joh. 12. 34.* in opposition to his heavenly abode was then a common opinion, *Luke 24.* We hoped this had been he that should have redeemed *Israel*: one would have sat on his right hand and another on his left: and they strove who should be greatest, *Act. 1.* Lord wilt thou at this thime restore the Kingdome to *Israel*? *Jeh. 16.* When the Advocate was to be sent he was to

E

teach

92

teach them all things, and to testify of Christ, and to convince the world of *the sin of their unbelief*, and of the *righteousness and truth* of Christ, and of his *Judgment or Kingdome* and power, as consisting (*quoad exercitium*) in the debellation or dejection of the Kingdome of Satan, and destroying the works of the Devil. By all which (and much more) it appeareth that the Apostles, (though then in a state of Justification,) had a very general and defective knowledge of the Office of Christ; and that though his Prophetical Office was ordinarily believed (*Joh. 4. The Samaritane woman could say, when the Messiah cometh, he will tell us all things*) and a temporal Kingdom expected, yet his *spiritual Kingdom*, and especially his *Priestly office*, by his *sacrifice, death, resurrection, heavenly intercession* (for all the old Types and Sacrifices) was little understood by the Disciples. Yea, he sometimes sorbad them and others to tell men that *he was the Christ*, because the great evidences of his *Resurrection, Ascension and Spirit*, by which it was to be evinced, were yet to come. And
we

we believe not that all that were saved before, had more knowledge than the Apostles, so that though all the faithful Jews believed in the *promised seed*, even the *Messiah*, as one that was to be sent to be their Deliverer and Saviour, yet it was by a saith that was very general, and far from that distinctness, which after the Resurrection of Christ was required, of all to whom the Gospel was promuglate, which I have said the more of to you, lest you think that we hold what we do not, and so take occasion to erre by supposing us to err, *Clemens Alexandrinus*, *Justin Martyr*, *Arnobius*, *Lactantius*, and other old Christians do go yet further, then yet I have conceded to you.

And our very learned Dr. *Twisse* doth argue that God could have saved the world without a Redeemer if he had pleased, because he saved the faithful under the old Testament without any existent Mediator (except God himself) or any existent sacrifice or merit or intercssion of him, and because he saveth Infants without faith. But for the first I take it to be at best too great temerity or audacity to dispute

94

whether God could have done things *better* or *otherwise*, which he has done so well: (of which I have said more in my Premonition before my Treatise, called the *unreasonableness of Infidelity*. (Though I know that *Wallaeus*, and many other learned Protestants say the same.) And as for *Infants* they are not saved without the *Sacrifice*, and *grace* of the *Redeemer*, though they know him not; nor are they in the Covenant without the faith of their *Parents* or *Owners*, which is as their own. And if the *Spirit* of the *Prophets* be called the *Spirit of Christ*, 1 Pet. 1. 11. And the *reproach of Moses* was the *reproach* of Christ *Heb.* 11. 26. We may much more conclude of the ordinary Believers before his coming, that Christ's *Interest* and his *Spirits operations* and *help*, extended much further than mens *understanding* of him, his undertaking and his future work. No doubt but the eternal λόγος that had undertaken mans Redemption, and thereupon was our Lord Redeemer, gave even to *Socrates*, *Plato*, *Cicero*, *Seneca*, *Antonine*, *Epistotus*, *Plutarch*, &c. What light and mercy they had, though they
under-

95

understood not well from whom or upon what grounds they had them.

Ninthly, And also we hold that the Jews were not the whole of Gods Kingdome or Church of Redeemed ones in the world (as I have fully proved elsewhere,) But that as the Covenant was made with all mankind, so amongst them God-had other Servants besides the Jews; Though it was *they* that had the *extraordinary benediction* of being his *peculiar sacred People*. Tenthly, And we hold that as the Jews had by Promises, Prophetes and Types, *more means* to know God and the Messiah to come than other Nations, so they were answerably obliged to *more knowledge and faith* than other Nations were, that had not, nor could have, their means.

If then *all the world* be under the *first Covenant* of Grace, and if you confess this to proceed from the *wisdom* and *goodness* of God, and that men are bound so to believe, and if Christ since his Incarnation hath diminished none of the mercies of God to the world, but rather greatly increased them; and so where the Gospel is

E 3

not

96

not preached, nor cannot be had, they that refuse it not, are in no worse case than they were before, how can you say that *they are Remediless*, if Christ be the ransome and remedy?

We know that all men partake of a great deal of mercy from God, after the notorious demerit of their sin. We know that this mercy telleth them aloud, that God dealeth not with them according to the first Law of Innocency; They *see* he pardoneth them, they *feel* that he pardoneth them in part; that is, that he useth them not as they deserve. We know that all this mercy obligeth them to hope, that he will yet be further merciful; and to repentance, obedience, thankfulness and love. We know that the Heathen are no left as the Divels, without remedy, but all the Nations are under Divine obligations, to use certain means, which have a tendency to their recovery: And we know that God biddeth no man to use his means in vain.

Fourthly, Let us therefore first debate this Case, with any unbeliever that hath your objections, Whether you have any fault to find with the
Christi-

97

Christian Doctrine of the way of mans salvation, *for the first 4000 years, before the Incarnation* of our Lord? If you have, First, Is it with the *Author*? Secondly, Or with the terms and conditions of life? First, The *Author then* was none but God. The eternal *λόγος*, *wisdome* and *word*, did interpose to prevent the execution of strict Justice, by resolving to glorifie *Love and Mercy*. Do you deny the being of Gods *eternal wisdome* or *word*? Do you deny him to be *God himself*? Or a Divine subsistence? & dream that it is but some Accident in God? No? your fair description of God, *p. 210.* dischargeth you from the imputation of so gross an error.

You will say that the Divine *power* and *goodness* interposed as well as the Divine *λόγος wisdome* and *word*. True: *Opera Trinitatis ad extra sunt in divisa*: but so that each hath an eminency in his own work, though not as *separated* or a *solitary principle* or cause. The *Father* and *Divine Vital Active Power*, was eminently glorified in the *Creation*; The *Son* and *Divine wisdome* is eminently glorified in the making of the *Remedying Medicine*; And the

98

Divine Love and Spirit is eminently glorified in the operation of it, to the *Health* and Salvation of the Soul. The son, and the wisdom or word doth not finish all the work himself, but with the *Father* and *Divine* power, sendeth the holy *Spirit*, and communicateth to man the *Love* of God. And all together will be glorified in our glorification.

Secondly, And if it be the terms of life that do offend you. First, It is either the terms of satisfying the Justice of God. Secondly, Or the terms of conveying the benefits to man.

First, For the first, there is nothing in it, to give offence. For we dream not of any extrinsecal agent or action (much less that which was not existent till 4000 years after) having any proper casuality to change Gods mind or will; The sum of the Christian Doctrine about the Interposition and *Redemption* by the *Son*, for man upon his fall, is but this; As if God should say *I will not destroy or damn sinful man remedilessly, according to the strict termes of the Law of Innocency which he hath broken; but will give him a remedying Covenant of Grace; because I will in*
the

the fulness of Time, provide better for the glorifying of my Truth and Holiness, wisdom and goodness, justice and mercy, than the remediless destruction of mankind would do; even by the Incarnation, doctrine, sacrifice, merits, &c. of the eternal word.] So that this grand work of God is the cause of his subordinate works: but not the cause of any real (but only relative or denominative) mutation in himself. This all sound Christians are agreed in; And can this offend you?

Secondly, And for the *termes* of *communication* of Grace to man, it is either First, *The New Covenant* as a Gift of pardon and life, Secondly, Or the *conditions* which it requireth of man.

First, The former you neither do find fault with nor can do (That God should give the world a Recovering and pardoning Law.) Secondly, The second is all that is here liable to your exception. And what do you think amiss in that? First, Not that *Repentance* is one of the Conditions of further Grace; for that you plead for. Secondly, Not that *Fides in Deum misericordem*, *Faith in Gods revealed mercy*

100

cy as pardoning sin, is required of man for that also you plead for.

But you would have *his goodness and mercy to be a sufficient satisfaction to his Justice?* *Answ.* First, I hope you will not exclude his *wisdome*, because you abhorre Atheism as folly. Secondly, And I hope you will distinguish *between*, the *prime satisfying Cause*, and the *satisfying means*; These plainly differ. The *prime satisfying Cause is Gods wisdome* contriving and determining of the fittest way to *communicate his love and spirit*. But the *prime satisfying means is Jesus Christ*, who was to do that which was fittest to attain the foresaid ends.

But that which you will accept against is, that the *Belief in Christs future incarnation was made then necessary to salvation.* *Answ.* First, See that you feign not the Christian Doctrine to say more of this than indeed it doth, which I have opened to you before. I told you how narrow the Apostles own faith was before Christs Resurrection. We know that all the believing Jews, knew not so much as *they*, nor so much as the Prophets, and more

more illuminated men: And we know that the rest of *the world* had not so full a revelation as the *Jews*. But we know that all that had the notice of his *promise*, were to believe the truth thereof: And those that had not the *word of promise* made known to them, had the *possession of many such mercies* as that *promise* gave, and as intimated much of the same grace which the *promise* did: Therefore none could be bound to less than to believe, that *God of his mercy would pardon sin and save penitent Believers, by such a means of securing the honour of his holiness, truth and justice, as his infinite wisdom should provide*. This much you cannot deny. And that the *promise of the Victorious seed* (though it seem too obscure to bind men to so distinct a faith, as ours is) was by Tradition told to *Adams* posterity, and that they had a General belief of such an expiation for some time, seemeth intimated in the *early* and almost *universal* use of *sacrificing*, of which I shall speak more anon. Hitherto then I have vindicated the Christian Doctrine of mans salvation for the first 4000 years.

Second-

102

Secondly, And is there any thing since which should make it more offensive to you? First, As to the Person of *Christ* I have said enough in my Treatise (the Reason of Christian Religion.) Verily I think it far harder to confute those that feign *all the world to be animated by God as the universal Soul, and to conceive how God, who is most intimate to all things, in whom we live, and move and are, should not be as neerly united to all things, as Christians believe him to be to the humane nature of Christ (though undoubtedly it is not so) than that he should have that neer union with his humane nature.*

Secondly, And as to Christs work, I have so largely shewed you the necessity, the reasonableness and the harmonical congruities that I will not repeat them. In a word, The New Testament is the Doctrine of the eternal $\lambda\omicron\gamma\omicron\varsigma$ *wisdome or word of God Incarnate to communicate the Divine Spirit, and love to man, to be a sacrifice for sin, the Conqueror of Satan, Death and Sin, the Head over All things to the Church, the Author of Redemption, the grand*
Admini-

103

Administrator of the new Covenant, the Reconciler and Restorer of man to God, the Teacher, Ruler and High Preist of the Church, in order to this our Restoration and Salvation.

Thirdly, But if it be the *Time* of his coming that doth offend you, I have answered that, and further adde. First, What is there in foolish man that should encourage him to dream, that he better knoweth the fittest season for Gods works, than God himself. Secondly, Man was not all the while before, without the *Benefits* of this designed and undertaken Redemption: He was still under a Covenant of Grace. Thirdly, Consider well that God did not intend to give mankind that had so heinously sinned (by preferring the Devils word before his,) a *present* and a *perfect pardon*, but onely to give a new Law and Covenant which should be a conditional gift of pardon, to be obtained in full perfection, in time, and by degrees, we had made our selves voluntarily the Slaves of Satan: And God would not deliver us all at once. We had forfeited the heavenly assistance of the holy spi-

104

spirit, and God would not give it us all at once. Mans time of healing the wounds of his own sin is the time of this life: and the perfect cure will not be done till our entrance into the perfect world. And as it is with *Individual* men, so it is with the *world of all mankind*. *Grace* mitateth *nature* and doth all by degrees, darker Revelations were meeter for the Infancy of the world, and *clearer at noon day*, and riper knowledge fitter for its maturity. And when Satan by Divine permission had plai'd his part, and seemed to triumph over the sinful world, it was time for Christ to come, by *Power, Wisdom* and *Goodness* meanly cloathed to cast down his Temples and Altars, to subsidue his Kingdoms, and to triumph over the Triumpher.

Fourthly, But if it be the *present conditions* of the *new Covenant* since Christs Resurrection, that offendeth you, *viz*, that the world is required to believe in him, I have answered that, and now adde. First, Remember what I said before, that *no mans condition is made worse by Christ*, than it was *before his incarnation*. They that
neither

105

neither *have* nor *could have* the *Covenant of Grace* in the *last edition*, are under it as they *were before* in the *first edition*, further than as their after sins have deprived them of any of its benefits. Therefore the coming of Christ hath not *narrowed the Church*, nor repealed or diminished any grace that before was given, but added much more. Secondly, When there was *more Grace* to be given, it was needful that the *Condition* should be suited to it. Would you rather be without the *Graces* and benefits, than be obliged to *Believe*: would you be cured by one that you would not *believe*, nor take for your Physician? would you be *taught* by one that you will not *believe* or take for your Teacher? would you be *ruled* by one that you will not believe is your Ruler? Hnd^s I have proved to you, that God bideth no man believe either without a *meet object*, or *meet evidence* of the *credibility*, yea the certain verity of that which he is commanded to believe. And the belief required of us, is but a *means* to our love of God and our belief of the everlasting glory,
and

5 Should probably be And.

106

and consequently is needful to our further duty, to our perfection and our felicity. Do you not think your self, that the greatest demonstrations of the Divine Love, are fittest to breed Love in us to God? And is not this wonderful work of mans Redemption a wonderful demonstration of Gods Love; If you say that it is *incredible* because *wonderful* and *incomprehensible*, I answer you, It is the *more credible* because so *wonderful*. I cannot believe that any thing is a work of God, especially one of his *great transcendent works* which mortal man can comprehend. The work of the *Father* and of *Omnipotency* in *Creation* is *wonderfully*; will you therefore say that there is no *world*? The *work* of the Holy Ghost in *Regeneration* is wonderful, &c. especially in our *perfection* in *Glory*. And will you say therefore that there is no *Sanctification* or *Glorification*? so the work of the *Son* and *Divine wisdom* and *word incarnate* is wonderful; And it is the fitter to be thought a *work of God*.

And would you not say your self
that

107

that if God should send an Angel from Heaven, to tell you his will, and tell you what is good and evil, and to tell you the certainty of the life to come and the Joyes thereof, would it not be a singular help to your *Belief* of all these things revealed, if he did but give you sufficient proof that he is sent of God? What perversness is it then to quarrel with Gods greatest mercy as incredible, meerly because it is wonderful and great, and therefore fit for God to give. Therefore observe here the error of those men, that overlook the *Benefit*, and taking all *Duty* for a *Burden* dispute against the *necessity* of the *Duty*: whereas all our *Duty* is our *Benefit*, like the duty of *feasting, rejoycing, receiving* money or honour when given us? And the true state of our Question should be, *Whether all they that by the Gospel have the offer of a Saviour and Salvation, and all those treasures of mercy which are brought to mankind by Christ above what they had before his incarnation, are bound to believe that procurement and offer, and to accept so great a gift?* When the same men that question this, can be
willing

108

willing to accept of wealth and honours, without disputing whether they may not live without them, and will say, *Quis insimentis inops oblatum respuit aurum?* And he that can make a sorry shift with a Candle, will not dispute whether it be his duty to open his Windows & let in the light of the Sun; It is Riches of Mercy which all they dispute against, who think they speak against the necessity of some difficult duty.

Thirdly, And remember again that your self confess an *Inequality* of Gods *Benefits*, and that he is not bound to give them to all alike, though there were no inequality of demerit in the Receivers, If then he give *more to the Church* by *Christ Incarnate*, then he did before his Incarnation, or more than he giveth to the *world* that never hear the Gospel, *their Eye* should not be evil because he is good; much less *ours* who receive the benefit.

Fourthly, And I am glad that all that you require of God for *All the world*, is but that their Salvation or Damnation may be brought to their own *free choice*, and not their perdition

on

109

on be a thing unavoidable by Gods meer will, without their culpable mis-choosing. And all this we maintain as well as you: And you can never prove that the Christian Religion doth deny it. Nay tell me if you can what *mercy your doctrine* giveth to *all the world*, which *ours* giveth them not? Do *you* say that they are not under the *meer Law of Innocency* made with *Adam*, but under the *Law of grace*, which after was given him? so do we. But you say, that this *Law of Grace is the Law of Nature*? Let not *names* abuse us: It is not the *Law of Innocent Nature*: But it is so fitted to mans *Lapsed State*, and doth also so fitly express the *Gracious Nature of God*, and also hath such evidences in Gods *merciful providence* and dealing with the sinful world, that in all these respects, if you call it the *Law of Lapsed and reprieved nature under its reparation*, we will not contend about the name.

But you say that all men may be saved, if they reject not their salvation: so say we, that all should be judged according to that means and Law that is given them, their Consciences accusing

110

sing or excusing them in the day when Christ shall judge the world, as the Gospel telleth us. And none perish now for the meer sin of *Adam*, nor meerly for want of the Innocency required by the first Law; but for the refusing and abusing some mercy purchased by Christ, which had an apt tendency to their Repentance and Recovery.

But you lay the main stress on this, that *All men may be saved by true saith in God and true Repentance without believing in a Crucified Christ*. And *we say* that no man in the world shall perish that hath *true faith in God and true Repentance*; For all such do *Love God as God*, and do devote themselves to his glory, to obedience and Love: and do hate sin as sin; and so are holy. And God cannot cast that Soul into Hell that loveth him and beareth his Image. Holiness hath so much of God and Heaven in it, that this would be to cast Heaven and Gods Image into Hell, and to jumble Heaven and Hell together. Do we not then grant you as much as you can reasonably desire. Tell us but what Heathens or Mahometans

111

metans are *Holy, truly penitent for all sin, and devoted to God in obedience and love*, and we will grant you that they shall all be saved.

But you were ware that we would tell you that this Repentance and holiness is not a thing, which sinful man is so easily brought to: And therefore the Question must be, *whether really these Heathens do truly Repent and Love God as God or not?*

Answ. First, Here you may perceive that though before you did but require that salvation be brought down to the Sinners choice, yet now that will not serve the turn: Yea, though *Faith in God, and true repentance* were the terms that you were satisfied should be imposed on all, yet now you are loth to stand to that, unless we grant that all these men have the *power* so to *believe and repent*. Well if by *power* you mean a *Natural faculty* we grant that they have the power of *Intellection and Uolition*. And if it be the *object* that is in question, we grant that the *object* of that *Faith and Repentance* which you mention your self is certain and existent; And if it be the *evidence* of

112

the *object* that is in question, we grant that the *Being, Power, Wisdome, Goodness, Holiness, Truth, Justice and Mercy of God*, are revealed to mankind, by an evidence sufficient in its kin, if their souls be but rightly disposed to receive it: And that *sin* to be *repented* of, is discernable in themselves is doubtless. *Amyraldus* largely labour-eth to prove, that as God bindeth no man to natural impossibilities, that is, to any Act without, First, A *faculty* that can do it. Secondly, An *Object*. Thirdly, Evidence of that *Object* (As not to see. First, without an eye, Secondly, That which is not in being, Thirdly, Or that which is a thousand miles off, or in the dark, without Light) so that all Heathens have first the *natural faculty*, Secondly, An *object* which would save them if truly believed in and Loved; that is, *God*: Thirdly, A Revelation of this object: And that the τὸ γνωστὸν τοῦ Θεοῦ is such an object as would procure their felicity if duly apprehended. See also Mr. *Trumans* Treatise of Natural and Moral Impotency.

But

113

But *indeed* when all is done, the wit of man that is offended with God, as if he gave not all men a *power* to be *saved*, will not be satisfied if that be granted, unless also he actually save them. Should we grant you all the rest, if some yet *are damned*, when God *could have* saved them, it will not satisfie them that will be above God, and will judge their Judge.

But because you seem satisfied with less, suppose all the question come to this, *Whether or no those that never had the Gospel, do ever come without it to true faith in God, and true repentance and so to be saved?*

Answ. First, And when we have granted you what you first desired, that none perish but those that are *willfully impenitent and believe not truly in God*, why must the controversie be carried to mens *hearts*, and *acts*. I can read *Gods Law*, but I cannot know or read the *hearts* of millions whom I never saw. Must I be obliged to know the thoughts of every man in *China, Tartary, Japan*, or the *Antipodes*? Secondly, What *number* is it that you put the question of, and whose *Repentance* you assert

¹⁴³

assert? Is it *All* or but *some*? If *All*, he must be mad that believeth it, that *All men are true penitent Belivers in God*. If but *some*, First, Would not your wit quarrel still with God for damning all the rest? Secondly, And must it be the *greater* part or the *lesser*? or would you know on what number or where to find satisfaction? And what have we to do to judge anothers Servants: To their own Master they stand or fall.

Secondly, And methinks you should easily grant at *least*, First, That *Repentance* and *Holiness* is *far easier* to them that have the Gospel, than to them that never heard it. Secondly, And that they are far more *common*. And so that *far more Christians* are *holy and saved* than of others. For, First, Tell me; If God should send an Angel from Heaven as aforesaid, with miraculous evidence of his mission, to call men to repentance and belief in God, and Glory, would it not be easier for those men to repent, than for others? Nay would not you the easilier believe in Christ your self if you had but such an Angel to confirm you? why then
should

115

should not the word of Christ, who by his Miracles, Resurrection and the donation of such a Spirit to his Servants, proved his mission from the Father, be a help to our Faith and Repentance. Especially when his word hath a self evidencing Light also in the Image and superscription of God upon it. Secondly, You will not deny but that the difference of common lower means, doth make Repentance much easier or harder to one than another. Take one man that is born in *Brasile* or *Soldania* or among any other savage People; or that is bred up in a Tavern, Ale-house or Whore-house, or Gaming house, among those that make a scorn of godliness; and take another that is bred up to Learning, Labour and Godliness, among them that by doctrine and example do honour and command a godly, righteous, sober life. And hath not one of these abundance more hinderances to his Repentance than the other. Thirdly, And will not *sense* and *experience* make you certain that the Christian part of the world, hath

F

more

116

more such helps than all the rest. Is there not more Reason, Learning, Sobriety, Doctrine, all sorts of Teaching there than among the rest. Were it but that Christianity hath furnished the World with more *helps* to repentance and *Holiness*, the case were clear. Fourthly, And a little acquaintance with the World, and the History of it, may fully satisfie you, that *de facto*, there are actually far more *knowing, penitent, holy Persons* among Christians than among any others. When therefore the fact it self is undeniable, and we see that more Souls are *healed* by Christ in the Church, than in the World without, what need we any greater evidence.

And if there were no more in it than this, that the actual knowledge or belief of Christ incarnate, doth make so great an addition to the helps and hopes of Sinners, and maketh *conversion* from sin to God, and salvation so much more *easie* and *common* (as *Aquinas Cont. Gent.* argueth should not this be received with the greatest thankfulness, rather than with unreverent arrogant Contradiction. But

117

But I proceed to overthrow your Fundamental Error [*That repentance alone with the mercy of God is a sufficient satisfaction for our sins to divine Justice.*]

First, If it be but proved that *de facto* God hath required and provided another *Sacrifice* for satisfaction, then you cannot call *Repentance alone* sufficient. But this is proved fully.

Secondly, If the great work of our Redemption by Christ, have all those blessed ends, tendencies and effects and bring all those benefits to mankind, which in the treat forementioned I proved; If it was so actual a demonstration of Gods wisdom and love, of his holiness and truth, his *Justice* and *Mercy* as is there manifested and proved; If it have brought men such helps to Repentance and Holiness as are forementioned, how perverse will it be then to say that our *Repentance* was sufficient without, the *Sacrifice* and *Merit* and *Intercession* and *Administration* which did procure and behow it: How absurd is it to say that the Cure of our Disease is sufficient for us, without

F 2

the

118

the Physician, the *Medicine*, the *Apothecary*, the *Application*, which we see by certain experience are the things that *work* this *Cure*. And which you your self cannot deny but that they effect this *Cure* (of Repentance or Holiness) far more *easily and commonly* than it is ever wrought without them? (Nay it is certain that the *Grace of Christ*, is absolutely necessary to Repentance and holiness in any one in the world, (*whatsoever be thought of the necessity of the knowledge of Christ incarnate.*)

II. Quest. *Whether the Notitiæ Communes be not many more than this learned and noble writer doth enumerate, viz. First, That there is one onely God at least supreme (whom he very well describeth by his attributes.) Secondly, That this God is to be worshipped, and prayed to, (Religion being ultima hominis differentia pag. 214). Thirdly, That the due conformity of our faculties (that is their holiness and rectitude) is the chief part of Gods worship; especially Gratitude, Fourthly, That all vices and crimes must be expiated by repentance. Fifthly, That after this life there*

is

119

is another life of rewards and punishment.

Answ. All these are excellent concessions, as being not onely Truths, but such *Notitiae Communes* without which a man is scarce a man, but unman'd. Except that the fourth doth erroneously assert the foredisproved sufficient satisfactoriness in our Repentance. And that this is not a *Notitia Communis*, I further thus manifest.

First, By all humane Laws and Justice. No King will make such a Law as this [*Let all the subjects be Traytors, Murderers, Oppressors, Perjured, never so long, and Repent at any time before they die, and all shall be forgiven*] meer Repentance will not save such persons from the Gallows. Secondly, And as to more private Justice, no man giveth his children and servants such a Law [*Disobey me, burn my house, seek my death, and do all the mischief you can, and repent at last, and you shall be forgiven*] Therefore meer Repentance is not sufficient satisfaction, according to the *Notitiae Communes* of mankind. Thirdly, The *reason*

F 3

of

120

of the thing doth prove it; Because it is not sufficient to secure the *ends* of *Government*, Should such a Law alone be made, (that men shall be forgiven all the villanies of their lives, if they will but repent at last.) First, It would encourage the most in the world to live in all manner of wickedness: If the hearts of men are fully set in them to do evil, because sentence against an evil work is not *speedily executed* but *delayed*; How much more, if they were sure it should *never* be executed? Secondly, The Laws and Lawgiver would be contemned, and lose their Ruling power. Thirdly, The *common good* would be prostituted, and cast away utterly, and no man should live in safety and peace, because of the dominion of wickedness.

Object. *Doth not Christianity then introduce these evils, which giveth pardon to all the penitent?*

Answ. No: First, because that only Christianity doth acquaint us of a *further satisfaction to justice* than *mans repentance*, by which all these *ends* of *Government*, may be obtained better than by our perdition. Secondly, Because

cause

cause it giveth us *no assurance of life* and *time of Repentance*, but calleth us to be *alwaies penitent* and *ready*. Thirdly, Because it telleth us of the *blindness of the mind*, the *power of sin*, and *hardness of the heart*, by which Repentance becometh so *great and hard* a work, that without *Gods grace* it will not be done; And his grace is to be diligently sought in the use of means; and is so little at our command, as that the *resisting of the holy Ghost*, may cause us to be *forsaken of God*, and given over to our *own hearts lusts*, to walk in our own Counsells, *Psal.* 81. 11, 12. Fourthly, Because God doth not *totally* and *perfectly* pardon all sin, when he doth pardon the *everlasting punishment* (though some in their ignorance will say so and revile those that will not be as ignorant.) He pardoneth not *temporal chastisements* and *death*: he remitteth not the sad penalties of a *temporary* and *partial desertion by his spirit*; horrors of Conscience and fears of Hell. He remitteth not the temporal punishment by *Magistrates*, but commandeth Justice to be done even on the penitent, even to the

122

loss of life it self. Fifthly, And his mercy is so great, that through Christ he will forgive the *eternal punishment*, and will judge men as he *findeth them*, and not as they have *been*, before conversion: So that without Christ you cannot imagine how God should neither send one to Hell or misery that loveth him, and hath his Image; nor yet expose his Government, Laws, and the common good to so much mischief, as the Proclamation of a pardon to all villanies that are but repented of at last (alone) would cause.

Object. *But if the King must not save a Traitor or Murderer because Christ died and satisfied for him, why should you say that God doth so.*

Answ. First, Because Christs Sacrifice and merits, were not to satisfie the King, but God. Secondly, Because that God who is satisfied by them, hath told us how far and with what exceptions he is satisfied: Not so far as to excuse men from the Laws of men, or temporal Justice, chastisement or death; but to save them from everlasting misery, and procure them everlasting happiness, and to san-

123

sanctifie their unremitted castigatory penalties, to the furtherance of these ends.

And that there are more *Notitiæ Communes* about Religion than the five forenamed is easily manifested. It is as common a truth that *all men are sinful and depraved even from the first* (however it came to pass) *that they are indisposed to the certain duties and ends, which their nature was formed for. That God is the universal Governour of man, by moral means; That he is just and true, that God only can make known to us, what is pleasing to himself and what reward or punishment he will retribute, That mans darkness is so great, that he learneth all this from nature alone, with great imperfection, doubtfulness and dissatisfaction: That therefore a further supernatural Revelation, which is sure would be a great confirmation and satisfaction to mans minds. And therefore almost all the world do hearken after Oracles, Prophecies, Visions or some such further Revelation, as Conscious of the unsatisfactoriness of their natural light. That all Gods Revelations are certainly true. That whatever Reve-*

F 5

lition

124

lation hath First, *On the doctrine of it, no contradiction to natural truth, but the clear Impress of divine Power, Wisdom and Goodness as its self evidence.* Secondly, *And maketh the same impress by divine co-operation on mans Souls in sanctifying them.* Thirdly, *And was attested by a multitude of evident uncontrolled Miracles, (Resurrection, raising the dead; giving to multitudes a Spirit of Miracles, &c.) this Revelation hath Gods Seal and Witness, and can be from none but God.* These and many more which I have recited in my Treat. are naturally known Verities: As you very well confess all the ten Commandements to be (going a little further than I see my self, while you make one day in seven as separated to Gods worship to be such, of which ellewhere I have delivered my mind, how far it is a natural or supernatural notice.)

III Quest. *Whether the Notitiæ Communes are the only certainties in Religion?*

Answ. No: Can you possibly deny all certainty of *Discourse* and *Conclusions*, *Ex vero nil nisi verum sequitur.*
will

125

will you condemn the Judge as condemning a Malefactor upon uncertainty, when he thus argueth. *All wilful Murderers must be put to death, This is certain in the Law. This man is a wilful Murderer.* (proved certainly by confession, evidence and witness) *Therefore this man must be put to death:* so I argue (*what ever doctrine is attested by a multitude of certain uncontrouled Miracles, and by the divine Impress on it self, and the divine Image wrought by it on all; that truly receive it, is attested by God himself, and is certainly true. But the Doctrine of Christianity was so attested: Ergo it is attested by God himself and true.*

The major is a *Notitia Communis*, or naturally known truth. The minor was known by *sense* it self to the first Witnesses; (and that was as natural a *notice* as any man is capable of, and as sure (whatever the Papists say against it for transubstantiation:) nothing can be sure, if all sound Mens senses with their just objects and conditions, are not sure in their Perceptions) And how *sure* the *distant Believers* are I have largely opened in the
Trea-

126

Treatise: Therefore the Conclusion must be sure.

Object. *But* (say the misinformed unbelievers) *that which all mankind believeth or knoweth hath its evidence in nature it self, but beliefs of pretended Revelations, Oracles and Visions are as various as Countries almost, and therefore uncertain.*

Answ. First, To the last part first I answer, in your converse with men, you will think him unnatural, unsociable, mad, that will either *believe all things or believe nothing*. There is *credible truth*, and there is *incredible falshood*: And will you beleive that either God saith all that every Lyar Fathereth on him, or else that he never revealeth his will to mankind any otherwise than by his common works. When God hath made a Revelation of his Will to the World, the Devils usual way of hindring the beleif of it is by *imitation*, and by putting such names and colours on falshood (by false Prophets) as God doth on the truth: Shall we therefore conclude that either all or none is the word of God. Or that God saith not true
unless

unless the Devil say true also.

Secondly, And will you mark the gross error of such Reasoners about the *Notitiæ Communes*. First, It is certain that no *actual Knowledge* (*conceptive* or *intellectual Verity*) is *born* in man, Infants know not these *Common. Notions* at all. As the Eye is not born with the actual *Species* of all things afterward seen, but only with a seeing, power and disposition; so these are called *common Notions*, because mans intellect is so *able* and *disposed* to know them, as that they will be known easily upon the first due evidence or notification of the Object, and therefore almost all men know them. Secondly, It is certain that this knowing faculty in man (as this noble Lord saith) requireth its proper conditions for its true apprehension of the Object. Now some mens understandings have the help of these conditions far more than others have (he nameth to you the conditions himself.) Thirdly, It is certain that the understanding performs not all its apprehensions at once or at first, but by degrees and in time as the Objects are
duly

128

duly presented. As an Infant seeth not the first day all that ever he must see; nor a Schollar learneth not the first day all that he must learn. Fourthly, It is certain that the *latter* apprehensions are as sure, if not more clear then the first: As he that lived twenty years at home, and afterward travelleth to *London*, doth as certainly then see *London* as before he did his Fathers house; so a Schollar doth afterward as certainly understand *Horace*, *Virgil* or *Homer*, as at first he understood his Primmer. Fifthly, It is certain that as particular *notices* are multiplied *quod actus in time* by use and information, so the *knowing disposition* of the *faculty* is increased: And the notice of a thousand truths doth so advance the understanding, and befreind other truths not yet received, that such a man can know more afterward in a day, than an ignorant man can learn in a year. Sixthly, By all which it is a most evident thing, that to make *common Notions* to be the only certainties, is a weakness below a rational man: And it is to make the intellect
of

of an Infant to be the standard or measure of all certain intellectual verities, and to make the Schollar even before he goeth to School as wise (as to certainties) as his Master and to make a new born Child to have seen as many Objects as *Drake*, or *de Noort*, or *Sandys*, or *Ludovics Romanus* in all his travels. In a word the *Notitiae Communes* being the very lowest degree of knowledge are thus equalled with the wisdom of the greatest Philosopher or Divine or Judge: Was this learned Lord when he wrote this Book sure of nothing, but these *common Notions* in Religion. Seventhly, To which I might add that even in mens natural capacities, there is a wonderful difference: As Ideots know little, so Dullards not much: And must the wisest go no higher than these. Eighthly, And will Lawyers, Statesmen, Physicians, Philosophers, make this consent of all mankind, the test of all their certainties? If not, why should we do so in our search after the greatest Verities, which are most worthy of all the study of our Lives. No-

130

Nothing visible is so analogous to mans soul as fire. The nature of which is to be ever of an active illuminative and calefactive faculty; but doth exercise it in such various degrees as the fuel doth occasion. There is fire in a flint or steel, yea in all things: But is it the best way to know what fire is and can do, by judging of it onely as it is in a stone? No: but take your steel and strike the flint, and adde the combustible fuel, and that which is in a stone can set a City on fire? And *nil agit quod agere non potest*, whatever act is produced, proveth an antecedent power. So if you would judge what mans *soul is*, and can do, and what truth is in the Intellect, it is not in fools but in the wise that you must discern it.

And by this those may see their error, who are tempted to think that mans soul is but highly sensitive and imaginative, or not made for *heavenly* and *holy* employments, because so many ignorant and wicked people are otherwise disposed: whereas the *Power* and so the *Nature* of *mans* soul is certainly gathered from what the wisest

131

wisest do attain: Because nothing can *act* beyond its *Power*: And if the attainments and acts of *some mens* souls do prove such a *Power in them*, all *souls* of men are of the same species, and therefore the rest might attain it if they had the same objects, evidences, excitations and improvements. I think all this is plain truth.

Ninethly, And if by *believing* you will heartily give up your souls to Christ and his Spirit, you will find that there is yet a more excellent addition of knowledg and certainty to be obtained than by all other means could be procured: At least, as to the *Intension* and *clearness* of the Act, if not as to the *extension* of it to more objects.

IV. Quest. *Whether the aforesaid Common notices do make up all the Religion of the Catholick Church? And whether the Catholick Church be all the world believing these common truths.*

Answ. The question is either *de nomine ecclesiae*, or *de re*. As to the name, the word is not used in Gods word for any but the Society of Believers as separated from the unbelieving and ungodly

132

godly world. As for men themselves, every one may use this and other words in what sence he please. But how aptly you may judge.

Quoad rem, I have told you before, how far all the world are capable of salvation, if that be the question. And I adde, The *Kingdome of God* is a word of a larger sense, but the *Church of God* properly so called is *Narrower*, being *Caetus evocatus*. *The Kingdom of God* signifieth, First, All that *de jure* are obliged to subjection and obedience; And so all mankind on earth are of his Kingdome, even Rebels. Secondly, Or it signifieth all that *consent to subjection and obedience* and *profess* it: And these are First, Such as profess subjection to God under some lame, defective, false conception; (as one that alloweth them to worship Idols under him, or to live in wickedness, or one that Governeth not the world by a Law, or will not make a Retribution hereafter, or as one that will pardon and save men, onely for their superstition or without a Saviour) And thus almost all Heathens and Infidels are of
Gods

133

Gods *Consenting Kingdome, Secundum quid, Eatenus*, so far as this cometh to, and no more: Secondly, Or such as *profess subjection, and love to God* as truly described; And as reconciled to man and saving them by Christ our Mediator: And these are *quoad actum* First, But *oral* or unsound, not Cordial Professors; And such are Hypocritical Christians, who are *simpliciter* of the visible Church. Secondly, Or *sincere Consenters*, who are *simpliciter* of the essential mystical Church of the Regenerate. Now when we thus open the Case as to the *Thing*, there remaineth, besides the controversie *de nomine*, no more than how far Heathens are under a Covenant of Grace, and how far they are capable of salvation, of which I have said enough before.

V. Quest. *Whether all Revelation for Religion must be but Notitiarum Communium Symbolum, A Creed containing these common notices or truths.* (as is asserted p. 221.)

Answ. I have said enough against this before. First; What need God send a Prophet or an Angel to tell the world

134

world that which they all knew certainly before? Secondly, Full existence assureth us (as I have proved in the Treat.) that mankind hath need of more. Thirdly, More tendeth to perfect mans *understanding*, and consequently his *will* and *life*: This is undeniable. And mans perfection is his felicity and end: And therefore more than those common notices is needful to his end. Fourthly, Else as is said, you will reduce all the world to the measure of that part which is the lowest, the unwisest and the worst. You would not in *wealth* or *health* be equalled with the *basest poorest* or the *sickest*: nor yet in wit and knowledg of other matters with the most foolish: And why then in the *knowledg, love* and *practice of Holiness*?

VI. Quest. *Whether (as some others say) all supernatural Revelations be to be tryed by the common notions known by nature.*

Answ. First, It is supposed that all that pretend to Prophesie and Revelation are not to be believed: And therefore that we must try the Spirits whether they be of God; and that all
 tryal

135

tryal of things unknown, must be made by some foreacknowledged principles, if it be a *conclusion* that must be known. understood, whether the Truth of the Gospel be to be known as a *simple term*, or a *self evident proposition*, or as a *true conclusion*. First, The first kind of knowledge onely apprehendeth the *words and sense*; but not the *Verity*. It is the *Truth* of the Doctrine that we enquire of. Secondly, Many Divines assert the second way, and say it is *Principium indemonstrabile*; Like [*est vel non est*:] Doubtless this is not true as to the *Natural Evidence* of the proposition, principle or doctrine. But I think that in the very hearing or reading Gods spirit often so concurrereth, as that the *will* it self shall be touched with an internal gust or savour of the goodness contained in the doctrine, and at the same time the understanding with an internal irradiation, which breedeth such a sudden apprehension of the *Verity* of it, as nature giveth men of natural principles. And I am perswaded that this increased by more experience, and
 Love

¹³⁶

Love and inward gusts, doth hold most Christians faster to Christ, than naked reasoning could do: And were it not for this unlearned ignorant persons, were still in danger of Apostasie, by every subtile Caviller that assaulteth them. And I believe that all true Christians have this kind of internal knowledge, from a suitableness of the Truth and Goodness of the Gospel to their now quickned, illuminated sanctified souls. Thirdly, But yet I believe that this is not All the knowledge of the truth of the Gospel which we have: There is a common Belief of its truth by other means which most usually goeth before this Generative spiritual reception and belief; usually they that are converted to holiness by the Gospel are such as had some Belief of it before, and not such as took it to be false to that moment. And after Conversion, it is to be known as a certain demonstrable Conclusion; And so the faith of wise and settled Christians is most rational: And they are thus made capable to defend it against Temptations and adversaries, and to preach it rightly to unbelievers. Thirdly

137

Thirdly, The premises from which this conclusion is proved [*The Gospel is true*] are both of them truths of infallible evidence, viz. [*Whatsoever doctrine is attested by so many and such miracles extrinsecally, by the self-evidencing impress of Divine Power Wisdom and goodness intrinsecally, and by the effecting the like Impression in holy Life, Light and Love on the souls of all sincere receivers, is certainly true, being attested by the spirit of God: But such is the doctrine of the Gospel: Ergo it is true as attested by the spirit of God.* I said before the first is a natural Verity; The second proposition is partly of sense and partly of internal and partly external experience, as is largely manifested.

Now as to the Question, First, No doubt but our *Natural faculties* must be used in trying supernatural Truth.

Secondly, No doubt he that disputeth with or preacheth to an unbeliever so as to prove what he delivereth to be true, must deal with him upon some common principles which both parties are agreed in; or else there is no room for proof or for dispute.

Third-

138

Thirdly, But some persons are so ignorant of those certain principles which infer the truth of Gospel revelation, that they have need first to be convinced of them: which must be done by inferring them from the *first truths* or some principles which they do confess. Fourthly, And as a man would convince *others*, by the *same method* and arguing he must convince *himself*, and try the truth which he is in doubt of.

Fifthly, But if any should mean. First, That nothing is true in the Gospel but these common principles of nature. Secondly, Or that nothing else can be proved true: Thirdly, Or that it would prove any pretended prophesie, *vision* or revelation true, so be it they do not *contradict* the common truths; All these are palpable untruths.

VII. Quest. *Whether these common Verities inferre not the truth of Christianity.*

Answ. This is sufficiently answered in the last. Perhaps the few Verities mentioned by the Author are not enough to prove Christianity by: But that
that

139

that it hath *true evidence* in *sense* and *reason* is manifested heretofore. And I believe that he that will by just argumentation follow on the Christian cause with an unbeliever, if he can hold him to the point from rambling, and suppose him capable of *Historical evidence*, may drive him to *yield*, or to *deny* common principles, yea to deny that God is God, and that man is man, and consequently that there is any being. But the evasion will be by denying *notorious matter of fact*, which therefore must be proved by its proper evidence.

IX. Quest. *Whether they are necessary conditions of the certain knowledge of a divine Revelation.* First, *That it be made immediately to my self.* Secondly, *And that I feel a divine afflatus in the Reception?* as is said Page.

Answ. No: A Revelation made to others, may be certainly notified to me, else if an Angel from Heaven should appear to all men in the Town and Country save one, or if all save one saw a thousand miracles to confirm a Revelation, yet that one could

¹⁴⁰

could not be sure of it. But I have by abundance of arguments in a peculiar disputation in a Treatise called the *unreasonableness of unbelief*, long ago fully proved the negative; And again in my *Reas. of the Christian Relig.* therefore I will not weary the Reader with repetitions.

X. Quest. *Whether any concurrence of moral evidence, at least such as Gospel Revelation hath, do truly amount to natural or certain evidence? De Rev. & Verisim.*

Answ. This Question too I have plainly decided in the *Reas. of Christian Relig.* I now add. First, The name of *moral evidence* is here taken by those that use it, for that which dependeth on the credit of a *voluntary Agent* as such: And the name of *natural evidence*, signifieth that which dependeth on the *nature* of the *Object* in it self considered. But I somewhat doubt whether all that use the distinction do commonly understand the difference, or what they say.

¹⁴¹

Secondly, Note that the *All* or *effect* of a *voluntary Agent*, hath nevertheless a *natural Evidence* when it is *done* or *existent*. If I voluntarily *speak* or *write* or *go*, my *Action* is *naturally evident* to those that see and hear it, as present sensitive Witnesses of it. If I *freely* build a House, it is nevertheless *naturally Evident* when it is built: Al things existent in the universe were made by God as a *free Agent*, and yet are nevertheless naturally evident.

Thirdly, Every thing that is, *when it is*, (if corporeal) *is naturally Evident* to those that have their faculties in those conditions that are necessary, and have the object in its necessary magnitude, cognation, detection, site, distance, medium and abode.

Fourthly, The judgement that is made upon *sense it self* faileth (as this noble Author hath well opened) when either the Object, the *Evidence* the *Sense* or the *Intellect* want their necessary conditions or qualifications; else not.

Fifthly, The Fountain of all *Freedom* and *Morality* is the *Will* of

¹⁴²

God: And yet the *moral Evidence* of truth which is in Gods word, when *known* to be his word, is as sure as any *natural Evidence* of the thing: There being the surest natural evidence *ab effect* is at least that there is a *God most perfect, that cannot lie.*

Sixthly, The *Essences* of all things are but imperfectly evident to us; The *existences* of *corporeal* things that are *present* and duly qualified, are fully evident. The *existence* of things *absent* beyond the reach of sense, is evident only to the *discursive intellect*; not by the immediate natural evidence of the things themselves, but by a borrowed evidence from Causes or Signes; Discourse improving the Fundamental common truths, for the knowing of the rest, by proving a certain connexion between them. The *Præterition* of things, and the *Futurition*, are both like the *distant existence*, unknown to sense, and the immediate apprehension of the intellect; And therefore must both be known also by collection as conclusions in discourse, or not at all.

Seventhly

143

Seventhly, Man was not born to know only things present in their *existence* by sense, but also to know *things absent*, things as *past*, and things as *future*. And herein he chiefly differeth from a Bruit.

Eighthly, Though the understanding is most confident of things sensible & present, yet about things *absent*, *past* and *future* it oft doubteth more and is less satisfied in its own conclusions from *natural* principles, than from moral. Because sometimes the natural Principles themselves (though not the first, yet the second, or third) may be so obscure as to leave the mind unsatisfied. Secondly, And the connexion among many particulars may be obscure and doubtful. Thirdly, And in the long *Series* of collection or arguing, the understanding suspecteth its own fallibility: so that when Conclusions are far fetcht, though from natural Principles, the mind may be still in doubt about them. And on the contrary when in the way of Revelation the grounds are clear, and the understanding hath fewer Collections

G 3

to

¹⁴⁴

to make and a shorter journey to go, it may be far better satisfied of the truth.

Ninthly, Mans own necessity is the reason why God doth give us supernatural Revelation, and call us to know by the way of *Believing*. For, First, Most men are naturally dull. Secondly, Few have leisure by Learning to improve their intellects. Thirdly, And fewer have leisure & disposition to exercise them by long searches & argumentation upon every thing that they should know. Fourthly, And therefore where Revelation was not, few were wise or virtuous; And the Philosophers themselves were all to pieces among themselves, and their disagreements and doubtfulness tended to the gulfe of utter Scepticisme. Now as nothing is more necessary than Religion (as you well profess) so Religion consisteth very little in the sensible apprehension of of present existences, but in the knowledge of things *absent* or *insensible things past*, and *especially things to come*, the *Happiness* to be attained, and the *misery* to be escaped. Now if all the Poor
un-

145

unlearned Men and Women in the World must have known all these things only by *natural discourse*, how little *Religion* would have been in the World, when the Philosophers knew so little themselves. And though your learning and understanding made the immortality of the Soul so clear to you and the rewards and punishments of another life, as that you number it with the *common notices*; yet were not the old Philosophers themselves, so commonly agreed on it as they should have been; much less all the common People. And if you say that now almost all the world believeth it, I answer it is Gods great mercy that it is so. But consider whether it be not more by the way of believing, than of naturall instinct or knowledge. For all the Christians, and all the Mahometans (who believe the words of *Moses* and Christ also) take it by the way of believing. And so do most of the Heathens: The *Japonians* have their *Amida* and *Zaca*: The *Chi-*
G 4
nenses,

146

nenses, the Indians, the Siamenses the Peguans, &c. have all their Prophets: And the very Savages of all the West-Indies (or America) have their Idols, Oracles or Wizards, whom they far more depend on than their natural discourse about things Invisible, Past or Future. So that really if Commonness go with you for a proof that any point is of natural instinct and certainty as a Notitia Communis, this will be one of the chiefest of them, that [Religion consisting in the notice of, and due respect to things absent, invisible, past and future; is to be maintained in the world by divine Revelation and Faith, and not by the immediate evidence of things, nor by meer discursive Collections from things so evident.] So that Mans weakness with the quality of the Objects maketh Revelation so necessary, that without it, the vulgar (who are the main body of the World) would have next to no Religion; And on the contrary how easie and pleasant, and satisfactory

147

factory is it for all these poor People (yea to the most learned) to have these mysterious truths brought by Revelation to their hands. Now through Gods mercy, all our common People, Women and Children, Servants and day-Labourers, may know more with ease, than ever *Democritus*, *Epicurus*, *Antisthenes*, *Zeno*, yea *Socrates*, *Plato* or *Aristotle* could reach by all their studies to the last: More I say of Religious necessary knowledge.

Tenthly, And this being so *necessary* and so great a mercy to mankind, I wonder that you put it not among your *common notices*, that God being perfect in love and wisdom, and having made man purposely to be *Religious*, here, and *happy* hereafter, will certainly provide for his *Religion* and *Happiness*, so *necessary* and so *excellent* a means as *Revelation* is, God being the Father and Lover of light and of Souls, and the Devil being the Prince and Friend of darkness; Consider whether

G 5

you

148

you may not strongly infer from the very nature of God, and the nature and necessity of man, and the other communications of Gods mercies to the world, that he will certainly give them this great mercy also.

Eleventhly, It is certain that God hath ways of communicating light to mans understanding immediately and not only by extrinsick sensible objects. The Father of Spirits who communicateth so much to the corporeal world, is not further from Souls, nor more out of love with them; But (if there be any difference) may rather be thought to hold a neerer more immediate communion with them than with Bodies, and to be himself to the mind, what the Sun is to the Eye, and more.

Twelfthly, It is certain that God *can* give the standers by that have no Revelation immediately themselves a fully satisfactory attestation or proof, of the truth of another mans Revelations. He that denyeth this, maketh God to be impotent. Thir-

¹⁴⁹

Thirteenthly, It is certain that the Attestation which I described in the Reasons of Christian Religion was such (supposing that such were given, *viz.* In the Antecedent Testimony of fulfilled Prophesie, the Constitutive Testimony of Gods Spirit apparent in the effects on *Christ; person* and on his *Gospel*, And the *Concomitant* Testimony of all his Miracles and Resurrection and Ascension. And the *subsequent* Testimony of the Spirit, on the Apostles, their Miracles and doctrine, and on the souls of all serious Christians to the worlds end) These are things (set all together) First, Which none but God could do. Secondly, And which God would *not do* to deceive the world; Thirdly, Yea, which God would *not permit* to be done to deceive them in so high a matter; Because he is the Omnipotent, Omniscient, Gracious Governour of the world; And if these Testimonies were not of God, it were impossible to know *any* Testimony to be of God; And seeing we can have no surer, it would
be

¹⁵⁰

be mans Duty to Believe and O-bey and be Ruled by a Lie. And if it be our *Duty* to Believe God to be so defective either in *Power, Wisdome* or *Goodnesse, Holinesse, Truth, Justice* or *Mercy* as to rule the World, and the best of the World in the greatest matters, by lying and deceit, as if he wanted better means: What Wit can devise any remedy against such deceit as shall be so attested as afore-said? Or if deceit can be perceived, how can it be mans *Duty* to Believe it, seeing mans Intellect is naturally made for Truth, and abhorreth falshood? And how can it be Good to Obey Deceit and Lyes? And when the Devil is the Father of Lies, what blasphemy is it to charge them on God? By this it will be apparent, that the Question must be in the upshot, whether there be a God or no God? and so whether there be any thing or nothing?

Fourteenthly, There is some *Moral Historical Evidence* of the truth of *things past*, which is as certain,
and

151

and much more satisfactory than the *Natural Evidence of Conclusions*, raised by a long *series* of argumentation: Yea, some which is truly a *Natural Evidence* though it depend on the credit of free Agents. The proof and reasons I have given in the Treat. First, The *Will* though free is *Quaedam Natura*, and hath its Natural propensity to *known good*, as the *understanding* also is, and hath its Natural propensity to *Truth*. And the understanding is not free, of it self, but acteth *per modum Naturae*. Secondly, There are some of the acts of the *Will* it self, which are so *free* as yet to be *necessary*. As to will *Good sub ratione boni*; to will our own *Felicity*, and nill our own misery; to will Life and Pleasure, with a simple complacency, though not alwaies by election: To will all that is fully discerned to have *omnimodam rationem boni*, and nill all that is discerned to have *omnimodam rationem mali*: Now it oft falls out that Historical Narratives shall proceed from some of these *necessary*

152

sary acts. Salvation, Life and Goodness and the necessary means of all, may be the Motives. Thirdly, There are other acts of the will which though they are not absolutely necessary, are yet so near to necessary, that they alwaies go one way, except in some very rare extraordinary case. As for example, It is not of absolute necessity that a man feed or cloath himself, or that he murder not himself: But yet he will ordinarily do the first and forbear the latter, because he is necessarily a lover of himself, and life, and therefore will not cast himself away, nor destroy himself, without some conceived cause. Fourthly, There are no causes extant in rerum Natura for the commonness of some such actions: Therefore it is certain they will not be done, because there can be no effect without its cause; And the turning of the will to a mans known corporeal destruction is an effect which hath no common cause. Therefore it is a point of more Natural Evidence and Certainty, than many
 Con-

153

Conclusions from Natural premises are, that *all the people* of *Europe* or *England* will not to morrow kill themselves, nor go naked, nor famish or wound themselves, &c. And consequently that formerly all never did so, since it was notoriously so much their interest to do otherwise. For, there was no cause to produce such an effect.

If it must be a Miracle *rebus sic stantibus*, which should make all the Europeans or the *English* to go naked to morrow, or to kill themselves, than it is *Natural* to them to do the contrary, or not to do *this* (for a Miracle is the overpowering of Nature.) But the Antecedent is evident, to reason, from experience. Ergo, &c. There may be Causes for *one* mans actions, which can never fall out to All, or to very many.

All the Physicians in *England* never did perswade all men against Physick, nor all the Lawyers against Law; nor all the Covetous men in *England*, the Labourers or Beggars, were never against receiving

¹⁵⁴

cieving Meat, Drink and Money; Because there never was a *cause* of such effects: And as it must be a great, powerful, common Cause, that must do this: so also if the question be *whether* ever there were a Parliament in *England*? Whether ever they made Laws with the Kings? Whether our statutes were made by such Kings and Parliaments as they are ascribed to, &c. There is such a concurrent consent of competent Witnesses, as could not be to it, were it false, because it would be an effect without a sufficient Cause. Yea, against the tendency or disposition of mans *nature* which would have caused the wills of some to contradict it, except a miracle had hindred them. For among so many there are cross interest notorious. Some Mens interest is against the thing, while other Mens are for it: And to make multitudes go against their apparent Interest, and Friends and Enemies of the event to agree, must be done by the
power

155

power of truth, or by a miracle: suposing the case such as they could not be all deceived in.

Fifteenthly, But there is yet a fuller natural evidence of the truth of some reports; Even when besides the report, there remain some visible unimitable effects of the reported actions, which could be caused by nothing else. As if their Fathers told the Grand-Children of *Noah* of the deluge, they might see such effects of it as might assure them that it was true. If the Parents of the man born blind, *Joh. 9.* were told by him that his eyes were cured, when they saw it in the effects they must believe it: If uncontrouled History tell our Children that *London* was burnt and new built, that *Pauls Church* was burnt, &c. that multitudes died of the Plague the year before, &c. When they see the City, the Church, the Graves, the change of Inhabitants, the proved Testaments of the deceased, besides uncontradicted testimony here is a *natural evidence* to assure it.

Sixth-

156

Sixteenthly, Though some half witted Philosophers boast much of the certainty of their Physicks in comparison of Morality, the truth is, the most of Physicks are meer untainties, and the wisest see it, and busily pull down others doctrines, but confess they are yet but searching and groping by extrinsick effects and experiments to know what to set up in the stead: And so did others before them: And long may they so search before they find. Whereas there is a more satisfying Evidence in much of Morality, as being *Natural to Mankind*, and such as will no sooner cease to be believed than man will cease to be man: whereon all the affairs of the world are turned, and converse, Societies, and all the private Comforts of Nature are maintained: God hath made known to us what pleased him, according to his own wisdom and not at our direction or choice. And he hath chosen that for us which is most usefull: It is more usefull to us to know how to live well, and how
to

157

to be happy, and how to please and glorifie God and do good to one another, than to know Gods skil or mysteries in his workes; to know what is in the center of the earth, or how the active Nature doth operate on the passive, whether cold be a privation or positive, what is the cause of the continued *motus projectorum*? Whether Light and Heat be bodies? or Substances? whether they penetrate other bodies? &c. As it is more useful for me to know how to keep my Clock in order than how to make one; to know how to plow, sow, eat, drink to my health, than to know by what mysterious operations, the Corn or other things do grow, and my food is digested, &c. Therefore this Learned Lord doth truly and wisely enumerate his *Notitiae Communes* in Morality and Religion as certainties, the denial whereof doth unman us. God hath left such instincts, powers, inclinations, and conscience in humane nature, as shall naturally (though with some degree of freedome in the exercise)
be

¹⁵⁸

be an insuperable witness in the world to himself, and to our common principles and duties.

Seventeenthly, The Historical Evidence of the Gospel of Christ is such as hath all the advantages before described, in its kind. He lived and preached and wrought his miracles *frequently*, before thousands, friends and foes: His miracles were never controlled as *Moses* did the Magicians, by greater, nor by any certain Truth which they contradicted: The eye witnesses themselves were unbelieving till forced by Cogent Evidence: They delivered his Doctrine, Miracles, Resurrection to the world, not only by credible report, and to the ruine of their worldly pleasures and interests, with the loss of their lives, and all this meerly for the hopes of a reward in heaven, from God that well knew whether all were true or not; But also they did all in the power of the same Spirit which Christ did work by, doing such Miracles as Christ had done: And this not a few nor in a Corner

ner but in many Countries of the world, and that by many thousand Christians in one kind or other (tongues, healing, prophecie, or the like) as well as the Apostles. The certainty of which fact is attested by the very existence of all the Churches converted by it, with all their Baptismes, Professions and the rest of the Tradition before named. No Christian of all this multitude, by any terrours, death, time, was brought to the last to repent and say that he had deceived the world by a lie, Many Apostates falling off for fear of sufferings, but none with any such recantation: No adversary confuting the History, but commonly confessing most of it with more such evidence which I have open'd in the Treat. and must not oft repeat lest I be tedious, And that which is still the *Natural Evidence* is, that [*There is still existent, First, On the sacred Gospel, Secondly, On the souls and lives of all serious Christians by its impress the unimitable Image of the Divine Power, Wisdome and goodness, Life, Light and Love, as the Di-*

160

vine attestation] Only as this noble Author requireth to all true *Concepti- ons* and *Intellections*, so do we to this, that there be but the necessary conditions in the mind of the receiver.

And whereas he saith that commonly Miracles are reported a hundred years after; Here it was otherwise: The Jews were enraged by them for fear of the *Romans!* The Apostles and others wrought them openly; *Mat- thew* and *John* that wrote Christs Hi- story lived with him and saw what they wrote: so did *Peter* and *James:* *Paul* wrote what he saw and heard from heaven, *Luke* wrote the Acts of *Paul* which he saw, being his Compa- nion in travels. The thousands were converted, and Churches in many Countries planted, not by bare words, but by the Conviction of the Miracles of the Apostles themselves: so that e- very Church and Christian was a Hi- story of them. And all this they were moved to, with the hopes of heaven, where truth is known, to deny the world, and mortifie the flesh, and suffer whatever the Gospel would in- flict, to preserve their hopes and com-
forts

founded in this word of faith.

XI. Quest. *Whether the common custome of sacrificing throughout the world in all generations, were not their actual Confession that the sinner deserved death, and that Gods justice required punishment of satisfaction? and proceeded not from Divine Revelation in the beginning, when God had new made the Covenant of Grace? and so was delivered down by Tradition?* For my part it cannot come into my understanding, why else men should think that God is pleased or appeased by the Creatures death? or how this should become so common throughout the world. And the two *exceptions* confirm this to be truth: First, *Some Savages in America use no sacrifices:* But they are such as know not God, or so Savage as to have lost all antient Tradition.

Secondly, *All the Mahometans and Christians use no bloody sacrifice.* But that is because, First, Christians believe that Sacrifices were but types of Christ and that he put an end to them by his perfect Sacrifice. 2dly, And *Mahometans* received it from Christ, being but Christians degenerate first into *Arrians*,
and

162

and then into *Mahometans*, and still professing to take Christ for the *word and son of God, and his word as true*: onely hating the Christians for saying that he is very God. But of this instead of writing after so many, I onely refer you to their writings: And specially to Dr. Owens Latine *Tractate* on this subject.

XII. *Whether Interest make the Judgment of Divines in the Cause of faith more suspicious or contemptible than other mens?* I put this Question with respect to those words in the Preface. *Sed neque auspiciores ubiq; posterioris istius seculi Scriptores dicendi sunt—Fit ita ut pro Regionum & fidei diversitate in id potissimum incumbant, ne illos domi male multet inopia, adeoq; non tam quid in se verum, quam quid sibi ipsis utile exquirant.—Non est igitur a larvato aliquo vel stipendioso Scriptore, ut verum Consummatum opperiaris. Illorum apprimè interest, ne personam deponant, vel aliter quidem sentiant. Ingenuus & sui arbitrii, ista solummodo praestabit Author.*

Answ. First, It is not to be denied, that there are multitudes of such

163

Carnal Pastors in the Churches, that are Christians for the case, honour and wealth. Secondly, But that this should be so with all, I shall disprove, and prove that none on earth are so credible in this case as Divines. First, Because they have made it the business of their lives to search out the truth: and therefore (some of them) must be supposed to have the greatest advantages to know it. So that for *Ability* they have no sort of men that are Competitors. For *diligence* and *helps* are the improvers of understanding. And all men are found best at their own profession; Lawyers in the Law, Physicians in Medicine, Philosophers in Philosophy, &c. And for your self, your next words are, [*Nobis tamen ad alia omnia fere quam literarum studia (uti oportuit) exequenda, otium fuit: Partim armis in diversis regionibus, partim quinquennali Legatione, partim negotiis tum publicis tum privatis, vacavimus*] And is not this your disadvantage? Who is a good Linguist, Lawyer, Physician, &c. that hath had but little leisure for his studies?

Secondly, And as for Will and Interest,

164

terest, it is notorious that thousands of the Ministry have so little set by worldly Interest, as that it is upon the terms of *greatest* self-denial to the flesh, that they take up and exercise their office; being moved onely by the great Interest of their own and others souls: Their voluntary diligent labours, their holy lives, their contempt of the world, may convince any of this, that are not blinded by prejudice or malice. There are few Learned men in the Reformed Churches, but might far better use their studies and labours, if they took that for best which is most profitable, advancing or pleasing to the flesh.

Thirdly, You had a Brother of your own, so holy a man, as his sincerity was past exception; and so zealous in his Sacred Ministry, as shewed he did not dissemble; And I suppose had it been necessary, you would have so maintained him, that he should not have fled from truth for fear of poverty.

Fourthly, What can you think of all those that gave up their lives for the Christian saith and hopes? Did they go upon such carnal grounds as you mention.

Fifth-

165

Fifthly, The revolutions of States, and the diversity of Sentiments, and especially the Interests of the Carnal part, do bring it to pass (by Gods over-ruling of all) that usually the most serious Christians and Pastors, are the sufferers of the age they live in: so that how much hath God done hereby to confute such suspicions and accusations! There are now in *England* learned and worthy men, in Church preferments, which doubtless do not so love them as to buy them with the loss of truth, and that to keep up a Religion against their Consciences. But if you did so accuse *them*, sure the many hundred silenced Ministers now in *England* that live in poverty, and many of them want Bread, when they might have preferment as well as others, do live out of the reach of this accusation: I write not this at all as meddling with their Cause, but as answering your Exception. I have myself got no more for Preaching the Gospel these nine years, than if I had been a Lay-man; I mean I have Preached for nothing, if the success on mens souls were not something, and Gods

H 2

ac-

166

acceptance (so far as I did Preach:) And more than that, I would offer any man my solemnest oath to satisfie him, that I believe and profess the Christian Doctrine for its proper evidence, and for the hopes of the blessedness promised thereby; which if they prevailed not with me above all the riches, preferments and pleasures of this world, I would never have been a Preacher or a Christian, nor would continue in my calling and profession one day, much less on the self-denying terms as I now do.

*But, O my Lord, thou hast been to me a faithful Saviour, a happy Teacher, a supporting Comforter, in my greatest dangers, distress and fears: Thy service hath been sweet and good! Thy word hath been a powerful Light, a Quickening, a changing, an elevating, a guiding, a comforting word. So far am I from Repenting that I am thy Disciple or thy Servant, that (now I am not far from my departure from this world) I do vehemently protest, that I beg no greater mercy of thee in this world than that I may Believe in thee more firmly, and Hope in thy promises more confidently, and by
thine*

167

thine Intercession receive more of thy Holy Spirit, by which I may have neerer access to God, and that by thy blood and merits I may be justified and cleansed from the guilt of all my sins, and that by thee I may be taught to know the Father, and to Love him as his Love and Goodness hath manifested it self in Thee, and in the gracious works of mans Redemption; That thou wilt be the undertaker for my soul and body, through my life, and that at death I may commend my Spirit into thy hands, in a strong well grounded Faith and Hope and come to the in the fervent desire of Divine and Heavenly Love And I ask for no greater felicity hereafter, than to be with thee where thou art, to behold thy Glory, and to see the Glory of the blessed Deity, and Live in the perfect Knowledge and Love and Praise of God.

Sixthly, And I may add, that it is not only Clergy men that are Christians: Besides them the Learned'st men in the world have defended or stuck to the Christian Faith: I need not name to you either men of your own rank, such as the two *Mirandula's*, the great *Du Plessis*, *Marnixius de Al-*
de-

168

degonde, Anhaltinus, (a Prince though a Divine,) *Bacon*, and many a worthy Noble man of these Kingdoms, and of many other: nor such Laymen as the *Scaligers, Salmasius, Grotius, Causobone, Thuanus*, and multitudes more. Were all these *larvati vel palliati* by as-
 sed by price or fleshly interest? He that is not a Christian for Spiritual and Eternal Interest, taking up his Cross and following a Crucified Christ, on terms of self-denial, even to the forsaking of all for him, not excepting life it self, and doth not by his Cross even Crucifie the flesh and the world, which is the provision for its lusts, is indeed no real Christian at all.

I had thought to have said somewhat to your pag. 220, 221. [*In omni Religione, immo & conscientia, sive ex Natura sive ex gratia, media sufficientia dari unde Deo accepti esse possint, ultro credimus—*] But I have been long enough, and the answer may be gathered from what is said before.

The Lord save this Land and the darker world from Infidelity and its fruits; and give us more of that spirit

169

rit which is Christs Agent and witness in us, effectually to plead and maintain his cause, Amen.

Jan. 16.

1672.

Cæs. Baronius Annal.

ad An. 411.

BUt because we are discoursing of such matters, Reader I intreat thee to suffer me, like the good householder in the Gospel, who bringeth out of his Treasurie things new and old, to adde some things new (or later) to these of elder date: For what I shall briefly say will much delight thee. For I will not report unproved things, but what I know to be confirmed by the assertion of very many learned men, Yea, and by all Religious men out told the people in their Sermons: And for my part I will bring forth the Author of whom I received it, and that is, *Michael Mercatus Miniatis* Pronotory of the *S. R.*

H 4

Church,

170

Church, a man of most entire fidelity and of eminent knowledge and honesty of life: He told me of his Grandfather of the same name with himself, *Michael Mercatus senior*, between whom and *Marsilius Ficinus* a man of a most noble wit, there was an intimate friendship, contracted and increased by Philosophical studies, in which they both were followers of *Plato*. It happened on a time that as they used, they were gathering from *Plato* (but not without doubting) how much or what of man remained after death; which *Platonick* documents where they failed, were to be under-propt by the Sacraments of the Christian Faith: (for of that argument, there is extant a learned Epistle of *Marsilius* to this *Michael Mercatus*, of the Immortality of the Soul & God.) And in their discourse when they had long disputed, they thus concluded it; and giving each other their right hands, they Covenanted, that which ever of them first died, if he could do it, he should certifie the other of the state of the other life. And having thus covenanted and sworn to each other, they departed: And after a considerable

171

ble space of time, it fell out, that *Michael senior*, being early in the morning at his Philosophical studies, unexpectedly he heard the noise of a horse swiftly running, and stopping at his door, and withall the voice of *Marsilius* crying out [*O Michael, O Michael, those things are true.*] *Michael* marvelling at the voice of his friend, rose up and opening the window, he saw him whom he heard, with his back toward him, in white, riding away on a white horse, and called after him, *Marsilius, Marsilius*, and looked after him, but he vanished from his sight. He being struck with admiration at the strangeness of the case, took care to enquire what was become of *Marsilius*, (he lived in *Florence* where he died) and found that he died that same hour in which he heard and saw him: And what did he hereupon? Though he had been a man of approved honesty, and had lived a life harmless and profitable to all (as it became a true Philosopher) yet from that time, bidding farewell to Philosophical Disciplines, and becoming a forward Lover (or follower) of the true Christian Philosophy
one-

¹⁷²

onely, as more eminent than the rest, he lived the rest of his time as dead to the world, onely for (or to) the life to come; being an example of a most absolute Christian, who before had been famous among the Philosophers of his time in praise, as second unto none. So far *Baronius*; The same is reported by abundance of other writers.

FINIS.

ERRATA.

PAge 1. l. penult. for *were* read *was*.
p. 23. l. 2. r. *Indic.* p. 30. l. 10.
r. *adapted.* p. 64. l. 7, 8. r. *same apparition*;
p. 117. l. 15. r. *Treat.* l. 27. r. *bestow.*
p. 123. l. 23. r. *mens.* p. 149. l. 16.
r. *end.*) p. 157. l. 20. for *ls.* r. *is.*